Disclaimer: This report, as required per 28 CFR §115.403, details the findings of an audit that was conducted by an outside contractor to determine the Federal Bureau of Prisons' (BOP) compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). As the work product of independent auditors subcontracted by <u>PREA Auditors of America (PAOA)</u>, the BOP is <u>not</u> responsible for grammatical or typographical errors. Additionally, any questions or comments regarding the discrepancies or inaccuracies found within this report should be directed to PAOA at (713) 818-9098, or to the subcontracted independent auditor (name and email address can be found on page one of the report), for explanation and resolution.

Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report Adult Prisons & Jails ☐ Interim ☐ Final

Date of Final Audit Report: June 7, 2021

Date of Interim Audit Report:

Date of Final Audit Report: June 7, 2021			
Auditor Information			
Name: Trish Bernhards	Email: trish@preaauditing.com		
Company Name: PREA Auditors of America			
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1071	City, State, Zip: Cypress, TX 77410		
Telephone: 713-818-9098	Date of Facility Visit: April 27-29, 2021		
Agency Information			
Name of Agency: Federal Bureau of Prisons	S		
Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable): US	S Department of Justice		
Physical Address: 320 First Street, NW	City, State, Zip: Washington, DC 20534		
Mailing Address: 320 First Street, NW	City, State, Zip: Washington, DC 20534		
The Agency Is: Military	☐ Private for Profit ☐ Private not for Profit		
☐ Municipal ☐ County	☐ State ☐ Federal		
Agency Website with PREA Information: https://www.bop.gov/inmates/custody_care/sexual_abuse_prevention.jsp			
Agency Chief Executive Officer			
Name: Director, M.D. Carvajal			
Email: Director M.D. Carvajal	Telephone: 202-616-2112		
Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator			
Name: Jill Roth, National PREA Coordinator			
Email: BOP-RSD-PREACOORDINATOR@bop.gov	Telephone: 202-616-2112		
PREA Coordinator Reports to: Sonya Thompson, Asst. Director, Reentry Services Division Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator:			

Facility Information				
Name of Facility: Federal M	ledical Center Rochester			
Physical Address: 2110 East	: Center Street	City, State, Z	ip: Rochester	, MN 55904
Mailing Address (if different fro	m above):	City, State, Z	ip: Rochester	, MN 55903
The Facility Is:	☐ Military	☐ Private for Profit		☐ Private not for Profit
☐ Municipal	☐ County	☐ State		⊠ Federal
Facility Type:	⊠ Prison		□ J	ail
Facility Website with PREA Info https://www.bop.gov/inma	ormation: ates/custody_and_care/se	xual_abuse	e_prevention.jsp)
Has the facility been accredited	within the past 3 years?	res 🗌 No		
If the facility has been accredited within the past 3 years, select the accrediting organization(s) – select all that apply (N/A if the facility has not been accredited within the past 3 years): ACA NCCHC CALEA Other (please name or describe: JCAHO) N/A If the facility has completed any internal or external audits other than those that resulted in accreditation, please describe: Click or tap here to enter text.				
	Warden/Jail Adminis	rator/Sherif	f/Director	
Name: Steven Kallis				
Email: RCH-PREACom	olianceMgr-S@bop.gov	Telephone:	507-287-0674	1
	Facility PREA Cor	mpliance Ma	anager	
Name: Tyal Rule				
Email: RCH-PREAComplia	nceMgr-S@bop.gov	Telephone:	507-287-067	74
Facility Health Service Administrator N/A				
Name: Heather Hanson				
Email: RCH-PREAComplia	nceMgr-S@bop,gov	Telephone:	507-287-0674	1
	Facility Cha	racteristics		

Designated Facility Capacity:		784		
Current Population of Facility:		642		
Average daily population for the past 12 months:		656		
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the pmonths?	past 12	☐ Yes ☒ No		
Which population(s) does the facility hold?		☐ Females ☒ Mal	es Both Females and Males	
Age range of population:		20-94		
Average length of stay or time under supervision:		987.2 days		
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:		Administrative Secu	urity Federal Medical Center	
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past	12 mont	hs:	490	
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past in the facility was for 72 hours or more:	12 mont	hs whose length of stay	470	
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past in the facility was for <i>30 days or more:</i>	12 mont	hs whose length of stay	451	
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?		☐ Yes ☒ No		
Number of youthful inmates held in the facility during the past 12 months: (N/A if the facility never holds youthful inmates)		12 months: (N/A if the	Click or tap here to enter text. N/A	
Does the audited facility hold inmates for one or more other agencies (e.g. a State correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement)?			⊠ Yes □ No	
		deral Bureau of Prisons		
	⊠ u.s	6. Marshals Service		
	l —		Enforcement	
	□ u.s	S. Marshals Service	Enforcement	
	U.S	Marshals Service Immigration and Customs	Enforcement	
Select all other agencies for which the audited	U.S Bur U.S	6. Marshals Service6. Immigration and Customs7. reau of Indian Affairs		
facility holds inmates: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold inmates for any other	U.S Bur U.S Sta	6. Marshals Service6. Immigration and Customseau of Indian Affairs6. Military branch	agency	
facility holds inmates: Select all that apply (N/A if the	U.S Bur U.S Sta	6. Marshals Service6. Immigration and Customs7. eau of Indian Affairs6. Military branch7. te or Territorial correctional	agency n agency	
facility holds inmates: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold inmates for any other	U.S Bur U.S Sta Cou Jud	6. Marshals Service 6. Immigration and Customs 7 eau of Indian Affairs 6. Military branch 7 te or Territorial correctional 8 unty correctional or detention 8 determined to the correctional or the correction	agency n agency	
facility holds inmates: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold inmates for any other	U.S Bur U.S Sta Cou Jud City city jail)	6. Marshals Service 6. Immigration and Customs reau of Indian Affairs 6. Military branch te or Territorial correctional unty correctional or detentional dicial district correctional or or municipal correctional or	agency on agency detention facility or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or	
facility holds inmates: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold inmates for any other	U.S Bur U.S Sta Cou Jud City city jail)	6. Marshals Service 6. Immigration and Customs reau of Indian Affairs 6. Military branch te or Territorial correctional unty correctional or detention licial district correctional or or municipal correctional or vate corrections or detention	agency on agency detention facility or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or	
facility holds inmates: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold inmates for any other	U.S Bur U.S Sta Cou City city jail) Priv	6. Marshals Service 6. Immigration and Customs reau of Indian Affairs 6. Military branch te or Territorial correctional unty correctional or detention licial district correctional or or municipal correctional or vate corrections or detention are - please name or describ	agency on agency detention facility or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or	
facility holds inmates: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold inmates for any other	U.S Bur U.S Sta Cou City city jail) Priv Oth	6. Marshals Service 6. Immigration and Customs reau of Indian Affairs 6. Military branch te or Territorial correctional unty correctional or detention licial district correctional or or municipal correctional or vate corrections or detention ter - please name or describ	agency on agency detention facility or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or	

Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with inmates:		32	
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:		103	
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:		15	
Physical Plant			
Number of buildings:			
Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the facility, whether inmates are formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations where temporary structures have been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their discretion to determine whether to include the structure in the overall count of buildings. As a general rule, if a temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to hold or house inmates, or if the temporary structure is used to house or support operational functions for more than a short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should be included in the overall count of buildings.	13		
Number of inmate housing units:			
Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house inmates of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows inmates to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.	11		
Number of single cell housing units:	1		
Number of multiple occupancy cell housing units:	4		
Number of open bay/dorm housing units:	2		
Number of segregation cells (for example, administrative, disciplinary, protective custody, etc.):	26		
In housing units, does the facility maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if the facility never holds youthful inmates)	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A
Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g. cameras, etc.)?	⊠ Yes	□ No	
Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12 months?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	

Medical and Mental Health Services and Forensic Medical Exams			
Are medical services provided on-site?	⊠ Yes □ No		
Are mental health services provided on-site?	⊠ Yes □ No		
Where are sexual assault forensic medical exams provi Select all that apply.	ided? On-site Local hospital/clinic Rape Crisis Center Other (please name of	or describe:	
ı	Investigations		
Crin	minal Investigations		
Number of investigators employed by the agency and/o for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegation harassment:		0	
When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply.		☐ Facility investigators ☐ Agency investigators ☐ An external investigative entity	
Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for criminal investigations)	 □ Local police department □ Local sheriff's department □ State police ☑ A U.S. Department of Justice of □ Other (please name or describe □ N/A 		
Admin	istrative Investigations		
Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?			
When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply		☐ Facility investigators☐ Agency investigators☐ An external investigative entity	
Select all external entities responsible for ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations) Local police department Local sheriff's department State police A U.S. Department of Justice of Other (please name or described)		·	

Audit Findings

Audit Narrative (including Audit Methodology)

The auditor's description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-on-site audit, on-site audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor's process for the site review.

The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) re-certification audit of the Federal Medical Center (FMC) Rochester, Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) facility located in Rochester, Minnesota was conducted April 27-29, 2021 by Trish Brockman-Bernhards, United States Department of Justice Certified PREA Auditor. The auditor contracted through a third-party entity to conduct the audit. Although contracted through a third-party entity as a contractor, the auditor is personally accountable for complying with the DOJ certification requirements, audit findings and audit reporting. PREA Auditors of America, LLC secured the contract with the agency and contracted with the auditor to conduct the audit. This was the facility's third PREA audit with the most recent PREA audit was conducted June 26-28, 2018.

Pre-On-site Phase

Prior to the on-site audit, the auditor reviewed the Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) and other supporting documentation. The auditor also facilitated a conference call with facility staff and the Management Analyst on April 23, 2021 in order to review the schedule for the on-site visit, discuss the auditor's results of the PAQ and supporting documentation provided to the auditor. The documentation included, but was not limited to. agency and facility policies, staff training curriculum and rosters, Memorandums of Understanding (MOU's), Inmate Intake and Orientation documents to include PREA screening documents. Documentation provided generally covered 12 months prior to the date of the audit. The auditor was able to discuss the PAQ with the PREA team who were responsive to any questions the auditor had. Photos were provided that indicated the facility posted the audit announcement throughout the facility on February 5, 2021. The audit announcement was posted in various locations throughout the facility to include the Front Lobby, Building 9 Housing Units, Staff Dining, Building 10 Housing Unit, Building 1 Housing Unit, Building 2 Housing Unit, Seclusion Unit, Special Housing Unit, Chapel, Inmate Dining Hall, Food Service, Building 4 Facilities, Building 4 Laundry, Building 4 Education and Recreation, Building 4 Safety, Food Service, Warehouse and Financial Management, Lower Recreation and Staff Lounge. The PREA Audit notice also contained an address the inmates could write to and confidentially contact the auditor prior to the auditor prior to the auditor's arrival at the facility. The auditor received one letter of correspondence from an FMS Rochester assigned inmate. The auditor interviewed this inmate while at the facility. While on-site, there was one special request received from an inmate to speak with the auditor during the facility tour. The auditor also conducted an interview with this inmate during other required interviews.

Research

During the pre-on-site audit phase, the auditor conducted internet searches of the facility and reviewed the agency website (https://www.bop.gov/inmates/custody_and_care/sexual_abuse_prevention.jsp). The website includes the agency's zero-tolerance policy (P5324.12), investigation information PREA reporting information, BOP Annual PREA Reports and previous PREA reports. The prior PREA report was also reviewed. The general public can access the PREA policy on the website.

FMC Rochester entered into a Gratuitous Service Agreement (GSA) with the Victim Services for Dodge, Fillmore and Olmstead County in December 2018. The Victim Services for Dodge, Fillmore and Olmstead County is an outside confidential support service available to FMC Rochester inmates who have

experienced sexual assault. The Victim Services for Dodge, Fillmore and Olmstead County offers emotional support for inmates who have experienced Sexual assault. The agreement is for five years. The auditor was able to contact a representative from the Victim Services Sexual Assault Program for Dodge, Fillmore and Olmstead County. The representative reported they had not received any form of contact with inmates from FMC Rochester.

Contact was also made with a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) with St. Mary's Hospital Emergency Department. The Emergency Department physician and SANE are specifically trained in collections related to sexual assaults. The auditor and SANE discussed the forensic services offered to inmate victims of sexual abuse. During the call, the SANE was able to explain that community level of care is offered to all patients.

On-Site Review

FMC Rochester is located in Olmstead County Minnesota. The address is 2110 East Center Street, Rochester, MN 55904. The facility's design capacity is 784 with an average daily population of 656. The offender count on the first day of the audit was 642.

The on-site audit was conducted April 27-29, 2021. The auditor arrived at the FMC Rochester the morning of April 27, 2021. An entrance briefing was conducted with the Warden, Associate Warden/PCM, Associate Warden, Chief of Psychology, Captain, Executive Assistant and BOP Central Office Management Analyst. During the entrance briefing, the audit process was explained and a tentative schedule for three (3) days to include facility tour, conducting interviews with staff and offenders and reviewing additional documentation. A brief discussion was held regarding current facility operations due to Covid-19 impacting the inmate population. The discussion involved how interviews could be conducted safely and proper sanitizing between interviews could be maintained.

A complete guided tour of the facility was conducted by the Warden, Associate Warden/PCM, the Associate Warden, the Captain, the Executive Assistant, Associate Warden's Secretary and the BOP Central Office Management Analyst. The tour included visiting the following areas: Dental, Outpatient area, Radiology, Central Supply, Nursing Care Centers in HU 9, Visiting Room, HU 10, Psychology, HU 1, Mental health Secure Housing, Special Housing Unit, HU 2, Building 4 (Landscape/Welding/Masonry), Food Service, Laundry, Trust Fund Warehouse, Safety, Food Service Warehouse, Facilities area, Commissary, Education and upper Recreation, Chapel and the Garage outside of the secure facility. The tour lasted approximately 4 hours.

During the tour, the auditor was allowed unimpeded access to any area of the facility. While touring, the auditor was seeking to find blind spots, listening for opposite gender announcements, observing staff and offender interactions as well as searches conducted by staff and locating cameras and security mirror placements. Inmates were observed to be under constant supervision of staff while involved in various activities. The auditor also reviewed the facility schematics. FMC Rochester has a total of 146 cameras. Camera placement was strategically placed in outdoor and indoor areas and included stationary and PTZ cameras. The cameras were monitored from Control, Lieutenant's Office, select workstations and the Special Investigative Services Department. The cameras have an approximate 78-day recording capability.

Informal and formal conversations with employees and inmates were conducted during the tour. Notifications of the PREA audit was posted in all locations throughout the facility. The facility was responsive and postings were created and placed by telephones in all units before the end of the tour on the first day. A call was attempted to the Victim Services/Advocacy telephone number but unsuccessful because the phone number was not accessible as it was not on his approved telephone list. This situation was quickly remedied and the numbers were appropriately added to the inmate's phone list. The auditor recommended that a posting be added so inmates utilizing the telephones have ready access to these telephone numbers and addresses of reporting parties. The facility was responsive and postings were created in English and Spanish and placed by telephones in all units before the end of the tour on the first

day. During the tour of HU 9-2, the auditor noticed that staff of the opposite gender could walk by one shower room and would be able to see an inmate showering. The facility again was very responsive and worked with their maintenance department to shade the window to this shower area and to provide the inmate privacy when showering and changing clothing. All other bathroom and shower areas allowed inmates a level of privacy in which staff of the opposite gender could not see them showering or using the bathroom. While touring the large, expansive Warehouse area, the auditor noticed the security mirrors in this area were difficult to utilize as they were small and did not allow staff the ability to properly monitor inmate and staff activity in the area. Larger security mirrors were ordered by the end of the first day of the audit also. The majority of all areas of the facility allowed security to be maintained while also allowing an element of privacy for the inmate population wile using the bathroom, changing clothing and showering/bathing without staff of the opposite gender viewing their genitals.

Staff Interviews

The PREA Auditor Handbook requires auditors to interview at least 12 random sample of staff. The auditor conducted 19 random staff interviews. The auditor interviewed a variety of staff from a diverse cross-section of work assignments, supervisors and line staff, male and females as well as staff of various races. There were three custody shifts. The hours for each shift were 0800-1600 hours, 1600-2400 hours and 2400-0800 hours. Hours for Administrative staff were 0800-1630 hours. The facility reported 420 full-time staff were employed at the facility. Private interviews with staff were conducted in the Warden's Conference Room while practicing social distancing. There were 22 specialized interviews conducted with 15 staff as some staff were interviewed for multiple categories. Specialized individuals were selected based upon their subject matter expertise in the various areas. The facility does not house youthful offenders, therefore interviews for line staff who supervise youthful offenders and education and program staff who work with youthful offenders were not conducted. Additionally, there were no non-medical staff involved in cross gender strip searches to interview. The facility staff were found to be cooperative and professional. Staff morale appeared to be very good and the observed staff/inmate relationships were determined to be professional.

The facility reported in its PAQ that 103 contractors and 15 volunteers have been trained in agency policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection and response. Due to restrictions in place at the facility because of the Covid-19 virus, outside volunteers not employed fulltime by the facility had not been allowed to enter the facility. Interviews with volunteers and contract staff were conducted over the phone. Telephonic interviews with 1 volunteer for Education and Religious Services person, 1 volunteer for religious Services and 1 contract physician were interviewed. These interviews all confirmed these persons completed the required PREA trainings. Interviews were also conducted

There is evidence of FMC Rochester obtaining a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Victim Services for Dodge, Fillmore and Olmstead County program in December 2018 that is effective for five-year time periods. The Victim Services for Dodge, Fillmore and Olmstead County provides free confidential crisis intervention and emotional support services related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Any inmate seeking services can now call the telephone number at no additional cost to the inmate. The auditor contacted a representative from the Victim Services for Dodge, Fillmore and Olmstead County and she explained the services the Victim Services for Dodge, Fillmore and Olmstead County offers. She reported there were no calls made to the Victim Services for Dodge, Fillmore and Olmstead County in the past 12 months from FMC Rochester. St. Mary's Hospital Emergency Department provides the emergency services and forensic medical examinations at no financial cost to the victim. In an interview with the SANE, no FMC Rochester inmates had been provided forensic exams at the St. Mary's Hospital Emergency Department in the last 12-month time period.

Interviews were also conducted with the Agency Head, the National PREA Coordinator and the Contract Administrator. All staff interviews were conducted with the guidance of the national PREA Resource Center PREA Compliance Audit Instruments Interview Guide. The Interview Preparation Sheet and employee

rosters received when arriving on-site assisted the auditor with the selection process for the random and targeted staff. A detailed list and quantity of staff interviewed are noted below.

Staff Categories	Number of Interviews Conducted	
Random sample of staff	19	
Agency and PREA staff:		
Agency Head or designee	1	
Warden	1	
PREA Coordinator (agency & unit)	1	
PREA Compliance Manager	1	
Specialized staff:		
Agency contract administrator		
	1	
Intermediate- or higher- level facility staff	3	
Medical staff	1	
Mental health staff	2	
Non-medical staff involved in Cross-		
gender strip or visual searches-NA	0	
Administrative (human resources) staff	1	
SAFE/SANE Nurse-St. Mary's Hospital		
	1	
Volunteers	2	
Contractor staff	1	
Investigative staff		
	2	
Staff who perform screening for risk of		
victimization and abusiveness	2	
Staff who supervise offenders in		
segregation unit	2	
Staff of the sexual incident review team	4	
 Designated staff member charged with 		
monitoring retaliation	2	
First responders (custody)	1	
First responders (custody)	1	
Intake staff	2	
Staff trained as Advocates (same individuals)		
who monitor retaliation)	0	
Advocacy center staff- Victim Services	,	
Sexual Assault Program for Dodge, Fillmore	1	
and Olmstead County		
Total random staff interviewed	19	
Total agency and unit PREA staff	4	
Total specialized interviewed	29	
Total staff Interviewed	52	
i otal stall lillervieweu	θZ	

Inmate Interviews

During the three (3) day on-site visit, there were 642 male offenders in the facility. The PREA Auditor Handbook requires auditors to interview at least 15 random sample of offenders. The auditor conducted 19 random sample of offender interviews. The PCM provided the auditor with an offender list provided for the interview process. The random offenders were selected from all housing units. The interviewed offenders were of various ages, nationalities and ethnic backgrounds.

The PREA Auditor handbook requires auditors to interview at least 15 targeted offenders. There were 13 targeted offender interviews conducted. The facility reported they did not have the following targeted offender categories housed at the facility during the on-site review. As a result, additional random offender interviews were conducted. These categories of offenders were not interviewed:

Youthful Offenders
Offenders in Restrictive Housing for high Risk of Sexual Victimization

There was 1 offender who met multiple identified categories from the required list of targeted offender interviews. Although the PREA Auditor Handbook requires a minimum of ten (10) targeted offender interviews, there were no offenders among the population that met two categories. During staff interviews, questions were asked to ascertain if offenders in these two categories were known among the population.

All offender interviews indicated they were well informed of their right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment using several ways of communication such as trusted staff, administrative staff, the kiosk, knowledge on external reporting telephone number and the Victim Services for Dodge, Fillmore and Olmstead County for crisis intervention and victim advocate services. Overall, the offenders interviewed demonstrated an understanding of the PREA compliance program, the intake screening process, the prevention and protection process and reporting mechanisms FMC Rochester had in place. Offenders interviewed further stated that staff members are responsive to their needs and reported that they felt safe at this facility.

All offender interviews were conducted with the guidance of the National PREA Resource Center, PREA Compliance Audit Instruments-Interview Guide. The Interview Preparation Sheet and offender rosters received when arriving on-site assisted the auditor with the selection process for the random and targeted offenders. Private interviews were conducted in the Visiting Room where social distancing was maintained. A detailed list and quantity of offenders interviewed are noted below.

Offender Categories	Number of Interviews Conducted
Random sample of offenders:	19
Targeted offenders:	
Youthful offenders	0
Offenders with a physical disability	2
Offenders who are blind, deaf, or hard of hearing	1
 Offenders who are limited English proficient 	1

Offenders with a cognitive disability	1
 Offenders who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual 	3
 Offenders who identify as transgender or intersex 	0
 Offenders in segregated housing for high risk of sexual victimization 	0
 Offenders in segregation housing (not for high risk of sexual victimization) 	0
 Offenders who reported sexual abuse 	3
Offenders who reported prior sexual victimization during risk screening	2
Total random offenders interviewed	19
Total targeted offenders interviewed	13
Total offenders interviewed	32

File Review

During the audit, the auditor requested personnel and training files of staff, inmate files, medical and mental health records, grievances, incident reports and investigative files for review. A more detailed description of the documentation review is as follows:

Personnel and Training Files: The facility has 420 staff assigned. The auditor reviewed a random sample of personnel and training records that included individuals hired within the past 12 months. The sample included a variety of job assignments and posts assignments, including both supervisory and line staff. Personnel and training files for contracted staff were randomly selected and reviewed. Due to the Covid-19 pandemic over the past year, there have been no volunteers allowed at the facility, therefore no current volunteer records were able to be reviewed so records from the prior year were reviewed. Personnel and a training files were selected for those staff that the auditor conducted random interviews with and as such the files selected were also random.

Inmate Files: On the first day of the audit the inmate count was 642. A total of 10 inmate records were reviewed. The inmate records selected were those inmates that the auditor conducted random interviews with and as such the files selected were also random.

Medical and Mental Health records: The facility reported that over the past 12-month time period, there were 5 inmates that reported sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed the investigation files which contained information regarding medical and mental health documentation involving the inmate victims. The auditor also reviewed the mental health documents for inmates who disclosed victimization during the risk screening and inmates who were identified by the risk screening of being a potential victim or potential aggressor.

Grievances: The facility reported that there was only one grievance that was filed that alleged sexual abuse.

Incident Reports: The facility reported there were 9 incident reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment from the previous 12-month time period. The incident report codes of 206 (sexual proposal or threats), 205 (engaging in a sexual act) and 300 (indecent exposure) were noted in the incident log.

Investigation Files: During the previous 12 months, there were 5 allegations reported by the facility. The auditor reviewed the investigation files on these allegations, interviewed staff and interviewed alleged victims.

	Administrative Cases	Criminal Cases
Sexual Abuse:		
Pending Cases	1-staff	0
Closed Cases	2-inmate 2-staff	0
Sexual Harassment:		
Pending Cases	0	0
Closed Cases	0	0
Total Cases	5	0
Dispositions: Administrative Cases		
Substantiated	0	0
Unsubstantiated	4	0
Unfounded	0	0
Dispositions: Criminal Cases		
Referred to Prosecutor		0
Prosecution Refused		0
Indictment		0
Conviction		0
Acquittal		0

Facility Characteristics

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate, resident or detainee population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

The FMC Rochester is located in the city of Rochester, MN, approximately 90 miles south of Minneapolis/St. Paul. The Mission of the FMC Rochester is to provide a safe, secure and humane environment for inmates and staff. Opportunities for self-improvement, including work, education, vocational training, religious and counseling programs, are provided. These programs are designed to assist inmates during confinement and upon release, as well as, to facilitate the orderly operation of the institution.

FMC Rochester is an Administrative Security Federal Medical Center prison. The prison compound contains 64 acres, with 26 acres inside the secure perimeter. The perimeter is patrolled by two roving patrol vehicles. Entrance to the institution is through the Front Lobby/Control Center.

The FMC Rochester is a 784-bed medical and psychiatric referral center (one of seven in the BOP) that supports the agency's healthcare mission nationally. The age range of the inmate population was 20-94 years old. FMC Rochester FMC Rochester is recognized throughout the BOP as a center of excellence for infectious disease management, wound care, psychiatric treatment, rehabilitative services, and manages the BOP's largest nursing care center population. FMC Rochester operates one of three Regional Reference Laboratories for the BOP, serving 38 institutions in the North Central and Western regions.

FMC Rochester was formerly a Minnesota state mental health facility known as the Rochester State Hospital. The hospital was closed in 1982. In April 1983, The Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) initiated procedures to purchase the property. In May 1984, Olmstead County agreed to sell a portion of the property to the BOP. This portion consisted of 6 major buildings on 64 acres of land. FMC Rochester began receiving inmates in 1984 and was officially dedicated in 1985. The inmate Housing Units are designed more like a hospital rather than traditional prison cellblocks or open dormitory style units.

Summary of Audit Findings

The summary should include the number and list of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number and list of standards not met.

Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA". A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Standards Exceeded

Number of Standards Exceeded: 1 List of Standards Exceeded: 115.31

Standards Met

Number of Standards Met: 44

Standards Not Met

Number of Standards Not Met: 0 List of Standards Not Met: 0

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.11	(a)		
•		he agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual and sexual harassment? $\ oxtimes$ Yes $\ oxtimes$ No	
•		he written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding all abuse and sexual harassment? $\ oxdot \ Yes \ oxdot \ No$	
115.11	(b)		
•	Has th	e agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? ⊠ Yes □ No	
•	Is the I	PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? ⊠ Yes □ No	
•	overse	he PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and e agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	
115.11	(c)		
•		agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance er? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA	
•	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination	
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. P3420.11, Program Statement, Standards of Employee Conduct
- 4. P5270.09, Program Statement, Inmate Discipline Program
- 5. National PREA Coordinator MOU
- 6. Reentry Services Branch Org Chart
- 7. RCH5324.12A, Institution Supplement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 8. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention An Overview for Offenders (English and Spanish Versions)

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
- 2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Findings (By Provision):

115.11 (a): The agency has a comprehensive PREA Policy: P5324.12, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program. Institutional Supplement RCH5324.12A, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention, and the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Overview for Offenders further supplement the agency's policy. The agency has a zero-tolerance policy towards all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment which is outlined in policy. The policies outline the strategies on preventing, detecting and responding to such conduct and include definitions of prohibited behavior. Agency policies address "preventing" sexual abuse and sexual harassment through the designation of a PREA Coordinator (PC), criminal history background checks (staff, volunteers and contractors), training (staff, volunteers and contractors), staffing, intake/risk screening, inmate education and posting of signage (PREA posters, etc.). The policies address "detecting" sexual abuse and sexual harassment through training (staff, volunteers, and contractors), and intake/risk screening. The policies address "responding" to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment through reporting, investigations, victim services, medical and mental health services, disciplinary sanctions for staff and inmates, incident reviews and data collection. This policy is consistent with the PREA standards and outlines the agency's approach to sexual safety.

115.11 (b): The agency's organizational chart reflects that the PC position (referred to as the National PREA Coordinator) is an upper-level position with agency-wide oversight. The PC is a Psychologist under the Psychology Services Branch. The PC reports to the Assistant Director, Reentry Services Division. The PC provides guidance through six regional PREA Coordinators and 122 Compliance Manager(s). The PC was interviewed and she reported that her position is full-time and that she has enough time to manage all of her PREA related responsibilities.

115.11 (c): The facility has designated an Associate Warden as the staff member responsible for ensuring PREA compliance. The PAQ indicated that the PCM has sufficient authority and time to coordinate the facility's PREA efforts. The facility's organizational chart confirms that the Associate Warden is responsible for PREA compliance and that he reports directly to the Warden. The interview with the PREA Compliance Manager indicated he has sufficient time to coordinate the facility's PREA compliance. The evidence shows

that the agency has a PREA policy, has designated an upper-level, agency-wide PC as verified through the organizational chart and each institution/facility has a PREA Compliance Manager as verified through facility organizational chart. Based on the review of the PAQ and related documents, PREA implementation appears to comply with the standard.

Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.12 (a)
----------	----

•	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies
	or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's
	obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed or
	or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other
	entities for the confinement of inmates.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

115.12 (b)

•	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for
	agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards?
	(N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement
	of inmates.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\times	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Contracts for Confinement of Inmates
- 3. PREA Audit Memos

4. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Agency's Contract Administrator

Findings (By Provision):

115.12 (a): The agency currently reported it has 12 contracts with private contract facilities as well as administering 185 Residential Reentry Center (RRC) contracts. A review of three private contract facilities contracts confirmed that the following language was initially included or added to each: "The contractor shall comply with the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 and the National Standards to Prevent, Detect, and Respond to Prison Rape as contained in 28CFR Part 115." Additionally, a review of three RRC contracts confirmed the following language was present: "Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (PREA), seeks to eliminate sexual assault and sexual misconduct of offenders in correctional facilities to include all community-based facilities.

FMC Rochester does not individually contract with any private/state/local providers for the confinement of inmates. However, the BOP has entered into five contracts with privatized providers within the last twelve months. Pursuant to the PAQ, each contract contains the required PREA language. Each of these contracts impose an obligation on the BOP to monitor the PREA Compliance of each contracted facility.

P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program requires PREA compliance to be maintained by contractor. The interview with the Agency Contract Administrator confirmed that all new and renewed contracts require PREA compliance. The interview indicated that the contractor's policies and procedures are reviewed by the BOP and that the contractor is required to notify the BOP of any PREA allegations and forward a copy of the allegation, the investigation and the findings to the BOP oversight staff. Additionally, at least once a year the BOP's Quality Assurance Program conducts a review of each of the contractor's PREA allegations to determine compliance.

115.12 (b): The agency currently has 8 contracts with private contract facilities and 150 contracts with Residential Reentry Centers (RRC). A review of three private contract facilities contracts confirmed that the following language was initially included or added to each: "The contractor shall comply with the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 and the National Standards to Prevent, Detect, and Respond to Prison Rape as contained in 28CFR Part 115." Additionally, a review of three RRC contracts confirmed the following language was present: "Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (PREA), seeks to eliminate sexual assault and sexual misconduct of offenders in correctional facilities to include all community-based facilities. Administration must maintain a zero-tolerance for sexual abuse, specifically policy that addresses PREA compliance will be maintained by contractor. Facility must be in full compliance with PREA standards that apply to Community Confinement Facilities". The interview with the Agency Contract Administrator confirmed that all new and renewed contracts require PREA compliance. The interview indicated that the contractor's policies and procedures are reviewed by the BOP and that the contractor is required to notify the BOP of any PREA allegations and forward a copy of the allegation, the investigation and the findings to the oversight staff. Additionally, at least once a year the BOP's Quality Assurance Program conducts a review of each of the contractor's PREA allegations to determine compliance. Based on the review of the PAQ, the language within the three sample agency contracts and information from the interview with the Agency Contract Administrator, the auditor finds this standard in compliance.

Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

•	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift? \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No

115.13 (b)

115.13 (a)

staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? $\ oxdots$ Yes $\ oxdots$ No

• In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the

•	justify a	umstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) \square No \square NA
115.13	(c)	
•	In the passess	past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, sed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan shed pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	assess	past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, sed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's ment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? Yes No
•	assess	past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, sed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.13	3 (d)	
•	level s	e facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-upervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Is this	policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? $oxtimes$ Yes \odots No
•	these s	he facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate ional functions of the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions f	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5502.11, Program Statement, Duty Officers
- 3. P3000.03, Program Statement, Human Resource Management Manual
- 4. RCH5324.12A, Institutional Supplement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 5. FMC Rochester Staffing Report
- 6. FMC Rochester Quarterly Salary Workforce Meeting Minutes
- 7. FMC Rochester Annual PREA Assurance Statement and Report
- 8. Institutional Duty Officer Unannounced Rounds document
- 9. Institution Duty Officer Training Curriculum

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Warden
- 2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
- 3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
- 4. Interview with Intermediate or Higher-Level Facility Staff

Site Review Observations:

- 1. Staffing Levels
- 2. Camera and Video Recorded Monitoring Technology

Findings (By Provision):

115.13 (a): P3000.03, Program Statement, Human Resource Management Manual addresses the agency's staffing plan development. Specifically, on pages 9-10 the policy indicates that the Workforce Utilization Committee will formulate a staffing plan based on the number of allocated positions, historical turnover and anticipated vacancies. Additionally, the policy indicates that the committee will review departmental rosters, review findings of program, operational and other management reviews and recommendations designed to increase effective use of resources. The policy also indicates on page 11 that the vacancy rate will not exceed ten percent during any eighteen-month period. The staffing plan takes into consideration generally accepted detention practices, any judicial findings of inadequacy, any finding of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies, any finding of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies, all components of the facility's physical plant, the composition of the inmate population, the number and placement of supervisory staff, the institutional programs occurring on a particular shift, any applicable State or local laws, the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of abuse and any other relevant factors. The PAQ indicated that the current staffing is based off of 656 inmates which is the average daily number of inmates over the last twelve months. The facility employs 424 staff. Staff mainly make up three eight-hour shifts. Interviews with the Warden and the PCM confirmed that the facility has a staffing plan that provides adequate staffing levels and that they reference with the plan on a regular basis. The PCM indicated that each BOP facility receives a quarterly report that lists a summary of all program review deficiencies. These reports are reviewed at FMC Rochester to determine if there are any necessary changes to policies, procedure and practices. He also indicated they review the National PREA Coordinator reports to monitor any trends and make appropriate modifications. The PCM indicated that the plan is reviewed quarterly and also during any monthly program reviews. The Warden indicated that the facility reviews to eliminate any blind spots, to place staff in high traffic areas and areas that have special populations. The Warden indicated that staff review the population to include aggressors and victims and determine any areas of weakness at the facility for these individuals. He also indicated that posts are not authorized to be unmanned and overtime or mandatory overtime would be utilized, if necessary.

115.13 (b): The facility indicated in the PAQ that no deviations from the staffing plan had occurred during the previous twelve months. The Warden provided the auditor with a memorandum of non-occurrence certifying that the facility has not deviated from the staffing plan. The interview with the Warden indicated that deviations would not occur as policy does not allow for correctional service rosters to be unmanned. He

indicated that they pay overtime or mandate mandatory overtime. He also indicated they could augment with non-custody staff if needed.

115.13 (c): The staffing plan is reviewed quarterly by the Salary/Workforce Utilization Committee. The plan was reviewed to assess, determine and document whether any adjustments were needed to the staffing plan, the deployment of video monitoring technologies and/or the resources available to commit to ensuring adherence to the staffing plan. The PC confirmed in the interview that she is consulted annually with regard to a review of the staffing plans for institutions. She indicated that the Human Resource Management Division and the Administration Division allocates overall staffing resources.

115.13 (d): P5324.12, page 16, indicates that the Institution Duty Officer (IDO) is required to make weekly unannounced rounds on all shifts to identify and deter sexual abuse and sexual harassment. These rounds are required to be documented and forwarded to the PCM for retention. Additionally, RCH5324.12A, indicates that unannounced rounds by supervisory staff conducted with the intent of identifying and deterring sexual abuse and sexual harassment are conducted every week. A review of the PAQ supplemental documentation indicated that unannounced rounds are being conducted weekly by the Institutional Duty Officer (IDO) in all locations at the facility as well as by the Shift Lieutenant(s). Additionally, P5324.12D prohibits staff from alerting other staff members that the supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to legitimate operational functions of the facility. A review of documentation of three days of unannounced rounds in each housing unit for the Shift Lieutenant indicated that rounds were conducted at least once on each shift in each of the housing units. Additionally, a review of additional IDO rounds documentation, indicated that rounds are being made between the hours of 5:00am and 11:00pm each week. During the interviews, supervisory staff indicated that they deviate their times and vary locations from unit to unit instead of in a consistent pattern in order to prevent staff from alerting other staff that the rounds are occurring. Based on a review of the PAQ, P3000.03, P5324.12, RCH5324.12A, the staffing plan, annual reviews, documentation of unannounced rounds, observations made during the tour and interviews with supervisory staff, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.14 (a)

■ Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)

Yes

No

NA</p>

115.14 (b)

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA</p>
- In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA</p>

115.14 (c)

with	this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) es \square No \square NA
exer	is the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle cise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A cility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
poss	outhful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent sible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) es \square No \boxtimes NA
Auditor Ove	erall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions	s for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
compliance of conclusions. not meet the	e below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Documents : 1. Pre-Audit	: Questionnaire
	with the Warden with the PREA Compliance Manager
	Observations: ons in Housing Units Related to Age of Inmates – None under the age of 18
Findings (B	y Provision):
population re previous twe at the facility	The PAQ indicated that no youthful inmates are housed FMC Rochester. A review of the daily eport indicated that no inmates under the age of 18 were housed at the facility within the live months. During the tour, it was observed that no inmates under the age of 18 were housed. The Warden and PCM confirmed that the facility has not and does not house inmates under 3. As such, this provision is not applicable.

115.14 (b): The PAQ indicated that no youthful inmates are housed at FMC Rochester. A review of the daily population report indicated that no inmates under the age of 18 were housed at the facility within the

previous twelve months. During the tour, it was observed that no inmates under the age of 18 were housed at the facility. The Warden and PCM confirmed that the facility has not and does not house inmates under the age of 18. As such, this provision is not applicable.

115.14 (c): The PAQ indicated that no youthful inmates are housed at FMC Rochester. A review of the daily population report indicated that no inmates under the age of 18 were housed at the facility within the previous twelve months. During the tour, it was observed that no inmates under the age of 18 were housed at the facility. The Warden and PCM confirmed that the facility has not and does not house inmates under the age of 18. As such, this provision is not applicable.

Based on a review of the PAQ, daily population reports, observations made during the tour and information from the interviews with the Warden and PCM, this standard appears to be not applicable for this facility, and is therefore compliant.

Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.15 (a)

•	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? \boxtimes Yes \square No
15.15	5 (b)
•	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
•	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
15.15	5 (c)
•	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA

115.15 (d)

 Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks,

or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No
■ Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.15 (e)
■ Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? ⊠ Yes □ No
• If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? ⋈ Yes □ No
115.15 (f)
■ Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ✓ Yes No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

In

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5521.06, Program Statement, Searches of Housing Units, Inmates, and Inmate Work Areas
- 3. Memorandum Limits to cross gender viewing and searching no exigent circumstances that require deviation from this standard
- 4. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Annual Training
- 5. Staff Training Records-Inmate Pat Search course completion transcripts
- 6. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 7. Memorandum to FMC Rochester Staff regarding Privacy/Transgender Searches with acknowledgement report

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Random Staff
- 2. Interview with Random Inmates
- 3. Interview with Transgender Inmates

Site Review Observations:

- 1. Observations of Privacy Barriers and Shower Curtain in Bathroom Areas
- 2. Observation of Absence of Female Inmates

Findings (By Provision):

115.15 (a): P5521.06, page 4 and 5, discuss visual searches and body cavity searches. Page 4 indicates that visual searches are to be conducted by staff of the same sex as the inmate, except where circumstances indicate that such a delay would mean the loss of contraband. In such a case, this exception would be documented in the inmate's central file. Page 5 indicates that body cavity searches are only conducted by qualified health personnel upon the approval of the Warden or Acting Warden and such searches are documented in the inmate's central file. The PAQ indicated that no searches of this kind were conducted at the facility over the past twelve months.

115.15 (b): The PAQ indicated that no female inmates are currently housed at the facility. A review of the daily population report for the previous twelve months as well as observations made during the tour indicated that no female inmates are or were housed at the facility in the previous twelve months.

115.15 (c): P5521.06, page 4 and 5, discuss visual searches and body cavity searches. Page 4 indicates that visual searches are to be conducted by staff of the same sex as the inmate, except where circumstances indicate that such a delay would mean the loss of contraband. In such a case, this exception would be documented in the inmate's central file. Page 5 indicates that body cavity searches are only conducted by qualified health personnel upon the approval of the Warden or Acting Warden and such searches are documented in the inmate's central file. The facility does not currently house female inmates. The PAQ indicated that no cross-gender searches have been conducted in the previous twelve months and that female inmates are not currently housed at the facility.

115.15 (d): P5324.12 specifies policies and procedures which enable inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttock, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Interviews with random inmates and interviews with random staff indicated that inmates have privacy when showering, using the restroom and changing clothes. Interviews also confirm that staff of the opposite gender announce their presence when entering a housing unit and an announcement is routinely made over the loud speaker. During the tour, the auditor heard the opposite gender announcement being

made. The auditor observed that most housing units at the FMC Rochester had individual showers with either a door or shower curtain which allowed coverage of inmates from the shoulders to the knees. Toilets in these housing units are also not in full view of staff. The one unit that had individual showers that were visible to any staff outside of the shower and bathroom area quickly remedied the issue by frosting the window on the door to the shower and bathroom areas so staff of the opposite gender could not see into the area. This was a handicap shower that when utilized usually required assistance from trained medical staff. Once the window was frosted other non-medical staff of the opposite gender were not able to see into the room.

115.15 (e): A Memorandum from the Associate Warden/PCM indicated that a staff member at FMC Rochester shall not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status. The PAQ indicated that there had been no searches of this nature within the past twelve months. Interviews with staff indicated that these types of searches were not authorized to be conducted by staff.

115.15 (f): The Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Annual Training Curriculum indicated that staff are trained on conducting cross gender pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner on the first day of the annual training. A review of the training curriculum confirms that the training covers cross gender pat-searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates. The PAQ indicated that 100% of staff had received this training. A review of a random sample of training records indicated that the staff reviewed had received the search training, which included a video on searches. Interviews with a random sample of staff indicated that they all had received this training during the previous year.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5521.06, the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program training curriculum, the Memorandum from the Warden, a random sample of staff training records, observations made during the tour of housing units to include bathrooms with half walls and individual showers with half doors or shower curtains, the opposite gender announcement as well as information from interviews with random staff indicate this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.16 (a)

•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? \boxtimes Yes \square No

 Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect,

and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? $oxine$ Yes $oxine$ No
■ Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? Yes □ No
■ Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes)? □ No
\blacksquare Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
■ Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? \boxtimes Yes \square No
■ Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? ✓ Yes ✓ No
■ Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind of have low vision? Yes □ No
115.16 (b)
■ Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? Yes No
 Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☑ Yes □ No
115.16 (c)

Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? ⋈ Yes □ No
 Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. LanguageLine Solutions Contract
- 4. PREA Posters (English and Spanish versions)
- 5. Inmate Admission and Orientation Lesson Plan
- 6. FMC Rochester Admission and Orientation Handbook (English and Spanish Versions)
- 7. BOP Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention, An Overview for Offenders (English and Spanish Versions)
- 8. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Annual Training 2021 Curriculum, training and staff record of training acknowledgement form

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Director of the BOP
- 2. Interview with LEP Inmates
- 3. Interview with Random Staff

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of PREA Posters in English and Spanish

Findings (By Provision):

115.16 (a): P5324.12, page 19 establishes guidelines to providing disabled inmates an equal opportunity to benefit from all the aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PCM is responsible for reaching out to local disabilities assistance offices to ensure the facility is providing effective communication accommodations when needed. A review of PREA posters, PREA directives and inmate distributed information confirmed that information is provided in large font,

bright colors and has accompanying pictures to the word directives. The interview with the Director of the BOP indicated that inmates receive PREA information in a format that they can understand. During the onsite visit, the auditor was able to interview two inmates with limited reading proficiency and LEP inmates. These inmates' files indicated that they received PREA information and they understood the information. During the tour, the PREA signage was observed to be in large text and in bright colors, in Spanish and English.

115.16 (b): P5324.12, page 20 establishes the procedure to ensure meaningful access to all the aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are Limited English Proficient (LEP). The PCM is responsible for reaching out to available interpretation services to ensure the facility is providing effective communication accommodations. The facility has numerous staff that are bilingual and assist in translation when needed. The agency has a contract (#GS-10F-0460N) with LanguageLine Solutions to provide translation services for inmates who are LEP. This is a service the facility can call which will translate information between the staff member and LEP inmate. A review of PREA posters, the inmate handbook, PREA directives and inmate distributed information confirmed that information is available in both English and Spanish. Interviews with the Director of the BOP and inmates who are LEP indicated that inmates received PREA information in a format that they can understand. Additionally, the auditor was able to utilize staff interpreters during the audit. A review of a sample of files for LEP inmates indicated that they received PREA information and they understood the information. During the tour, it was observed that PREA signage was posted throughout the facility in English and Spanish.

115.16 (c): P5324.12, page 20 prohibits the use of inmate interpreters, readers or any other type of inmate assistants for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, except in limited circumstances where an extended delay could compromise the inmate's safety. The PAQ indicated that there were no instances where an inmate was utilized to interpret, read or provide other types of assistance. Interviews with a random sample of staff indicated that inmates are not utilized to translate for PREA purposes. Interviews with LEP inmates indicated that other inmates were not utilized however they did get assistance from staff related to understanding PREA documents.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, the LanguageLine Solutions contract, a review of PREA signage and information, the inmate handbook, observations made during the tour to include the placement of PREA signage as well as interviews with the Director of the BOP, random staff, and LEP inmates indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.17 (a)

•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates
	who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility,
	juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates
	who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community
	facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent
	or was unable to consent or refuse? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	(b)
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? $\ \boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
115.17	" (c)
•	Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	' (d)
•	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	(e)
•	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? \boxtimes Yes \square No

about	the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or ews for hiring or promotions? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
about	the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written valuations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
	the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such nduct? $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\oxed{\square}$ No			
115.17 (g)				
Does	the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of ially false information, grounds for termination? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.17 (h)				
Does to harass emplo substa	the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual sment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional yer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on antiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is sited by law.) Yes No NA			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
Instructions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.				
Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. P3000.03, Program Statement, Human Resource Management Manual 3. P3420.11, Program Statement, Standards of Employee Conduct 4. Standard Form 85P – Questionnaire for Public Trust Positions				

115.17 (f)

- 5. BOP Recruiting Flyer
- 6. National Background Investigations Bureau (NBIB), Fingerprint Submissions
- 7. General Employment Considerations for Staff
- 8. Memorandum for Human Resource Managers regarding record requests by prospective employers regarding former BOP employees
- 9. Email from Office of Internal Affairs Chief regarding requests from non-BOP employers
- 10. Pre-reference Background Check Materials form
- 11. Personnel Files of Staff
- 12. Contractor Background Investigation Files

Interviews:

1. Interview with Human Resource Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.17 (a): P3000.03, page 28, indicates that a statement indicating eligible external applicants must meet all application criteria and conditions of employment. The PAQ indicated that the agency will not hire or promote anyone who may come in contact with inmates, and shall not enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates if they have: engaged in sexual abuse in prison, jail, lockup or any other institution; been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual abuse by force, overt or implied threats of force or coercion. A review of the eligibility questions on the USAJobs application indicated that the three questions are required to be answered electronically for all applicants. A review of personnel files for staff who were hired in the previous twelve months indicated that all had completed an application and as such were required to answer the eligibility questions. Additionally, all staff had a background check completed which included their criminal history, credit history and other record inquiries. All contractors also have a completed background check. The contractors reviewed all had a background check completed prior to enlisting their services. The interview with Human Resource staff indicated that the questions are part of the hiring process.

115.17 (b): The General Employment Considerations for Staff indicates on page 2 that the applicant's character or past conduct might impose a statutory bar to employment or impede employment by adversely impacting on the Bureau's efficiency by jeopardizing the ability to accomplish its mission successfully. The PAQ indicated that the agency considers any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote any staff or enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with an inmate. Human Resource staff indicated that sexual harassment is considered when hiring or promoting staff or enlisting services of any contractors.

115.17 (c): Standard Form 85P and the BOP Recruitment Flyer, indicates that employment is subject to satisfactory completion of a background investigation, which also includes law enforcement and criminal records checks, credit checks, inquiries with previous employers and personal references. The PAQ indicated that all 61 of those hired in the past twelve months that may have contact with inmates had received a criminal background check and prior institutional employers were contacted. A review of personnel files of staff hired in the previous twelve months indicated that 100% had a criminal background check completed and all prior institutional employers contacted. Additionally, all staff are fingerprinted and any future arrest is automatically reported to the agency through the National Background Investigations Bureau. Human Resource staff indicated that all staff are required to have a criminal background check before they are hired, institutional checks, neighborhood checks and numerous other checks are part of the background investigation process.

115.17 (d): P3000.03, pages 42 and 44, indicates that the agency performs criminal background checks before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates via a check of the

National Crime Information Center (NCIC). The PAQ indicated that there have been no contracts at the facility within the past twelve months. A review of current contractor personnel files indicated that a criminal background check had been conducted. Human Resource staff indicated that all contractors have a criminal background check completed prior to enlisting their services.

115.17 (e): The PAQ indicated that the agency requires either criminal background checks to be conducted at least every five years for current employees and contractors or have a system in place for otherwise capturing such information for current employees. The agency utilizes the National Background Investigations Bureau. All employees are fingerprinted and all subsequent FBI criminal arrest information forwarded back to the agency. Additionally, Security and Background Investigation Section (SBIS) tracks the timeline of background investigations for the agency. Mass emails are sent to each staff member as well as the Human Resource staff at the facility where the staff works to initiate the reinvestigation process for the five-year background check. Staff are required to take the appropriate steps to complete the process by a due date to ensure the background check is completed on time. The interview with Human Resource staff confirmed that all staff and contractors have a criminal background check completed every five years.

115.17 (f): The PAQ indicates that the agency will ask all applicants and employees who have contact with inmates directly about whether they have: engaged in sexual abuse in prison, jail, lockup or any other institution, been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community or been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual abuse by force, overt or implied threats of force or coercion through a written application, during any interviews or through any written self-evaluations as part of a review of current employees. A review of the eligibility questions on the USAJobs application indicated that the three questions are required to be answered electronically for all applicants. A review of personnel files for staff who were hired in the previous twelve months indicated that all had completed an application and as such were required to answer the eligibility questions. Additionally, all staff had a background check completed which included their criminal history, credit history and other record inquiries. Additionally, the interview with Human Resource staff confirmed that these questions are contained on the eligibility questions section on the USAJobs application, which is required for all applicants.

115.17 (g): The PAQ indicates that material omissions regarding sexual misconduct or the provision of materially false information is grounds for termination. The Questionnaire for Public Trust Positions indicates that falsifying or concealing facts is a felony which can result in fines and up to five years in prison. Human resource staff confirm that any false information or omissions would result in an employee or contractor being terminated.

115.17 (h): The Memorandum for Human Resource Managers documented that the agency provides information related to substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee to institutional employers for whom the employee has applied to work. Specifically, the memo indicates that all requests should be forwarded to the Office of Internal Affairs and that this office will respond to all requests. Human Resource staff indicated that this information would be provided when requested. Based on a review of the PAQ, P3000.03, Standard Form 85P, BOP Recruiting Flyer, General Employment Considerations for Staff, Memorandum for Human Resource Managers, the Eligibility Questions, a review of personnel files for staff and contractors and information obtained from the Human Resource staff interview indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.18 (a)

modifi expan if agei faciliti	agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or cation of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, ision, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/Ancy/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing es since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	
115.18 (b)		
other agend updat techno	agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the sy's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or ed a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring blogy since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) \square NO \square NA	
Auditor Ove	rall Compliance Determination	
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instructions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative	
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.		
Documents: 1. Pre-Audit C	uestionnaire	
Interviews: 1. Interview with the Director of the BOP 2. Interview with the Warden		
Site Review Observations: 1. Observations of Absence of Modification to the Physical Plant 2. Observations of Camera and Video Monitoring Technology		
Findings (By Provision):		

115.18 (a): The facility has not designed, acquired or planned any expansion or modification of the existing facility; The PAQ as well as the interview with the Warden confirmed there have not been any modifications

to the facility since August 20, 2012, but ongoing repairs. The interview with the Director of the BOP and the Warden did confirm that new facility designs, modifications and technology upgrades would be reviewed to see how these modification or upgrades may enhance the ability to protection inmates against sexual abuse. During the tour of the facility, the auditor did not observe any modifications or expansions.

115.18 (b): The facility has not installed and updated video monitoring technology, electronic surveillance system or other monitoring technology within the audit period. The PAQ as well as the interview with the Warden confirmed there have not been upgrades and installation of video monitoring technology. The interview with the Director of the BOP and the Warden did confirm that new facility designs, modifications and technology upgrades would be reviewed to determine how these modification or upgrades may enhance the ability to protect inmates against sexual abuse.

Based on the interviews, observations, repair documentation and reports provided, this standard appears to be compliant.

RESPONSIVE PLANNING

If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow

Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.21 (a)

1

	a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
15.21	(b)
•	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

115.21 (c)

•	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? $oximes$ Yes \odots No
115.21	(d)
•	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency <i>always</i> makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? $\hfill \boxtimes$ Yes $\hfill \square$ No
115.21	(e)
•	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.21	(f)
•	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.21	(g)
•	Auditor is not required to audit this provision.
115.21	(h)

r t i	membe to serve issues	gency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staffer for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination in general? (N/A if agency <i>always</i> makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center le to victims.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
Auditor	Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
1		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instruct	tions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
compliai conclusi not mee	nce or i ions. Th et the st	elow must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's nis discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does andard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. P6031.04, Program Statement, Patient Care 3. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program 4. FBI's Domestic Investigations and Operations Guide (DIOG) web link 5. DOJ/OIG PREA Training Topic List 6. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program training PowerPoint 7. Forensic Medical Examinations: An Overview for Victim Advocates lesson plan 8. Memorandum from the PCM related to Forensic Medical Exams 9. Qualified Staff Advocacy Training Documents 10. One Source First Responder Reference Guide 11. RCH5324.12A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program 12. Gratuitous Services Agreement with Dodge, Filmore and Olmstead County Community Corrections Victim Services Sexual Assault Program 13. Licenses of Mental Health professionals 14. Training record of Staff Attendance at Forensic Medical Exams: An Overview for Victim Advocates 15. Memorandum from Warden-No Requests for Victim Advocates 16. Policy Memorandum-PREA Investigative Policy		

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Random Staff
- 2. Interview with SAFE/SANE staff
- 3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
- 4. Interview with Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

- 115.21 (a): The Prison Rape Elimination Act Investigation Policy Memorandum (7/9/14), pages 10-13, outline the uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions. The policy outlines evidence preservation, medical examinations, forensic crime scene investigation with biological evidence, handling biological evidence and detecting and testing forensic evidence. The PAQ indicated that the agency is responsible for conducting administrative investigations while the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. Interviews with random staff indicated they are aware of evidence protocol and that they were responsible for preserving evidence.
- 115.21 (b): The Prison Rape Elimination Act Investigation Policy Memorandum, as well as the PAQ indicates that medical forensic examinations are conducted in accordance with standards set forth in "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adult/Adolescents, DOJ Office of Violence Against Women, second edition, April 2013".
- 115.21 (c): The Prison Rape Elimination Act Investigation Policy Memorandum, page 10, indicates that all inmate victims of sexual abuse are offered a forensic medical examination, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost. P6031.04, Program Statement, Patient Care, page 4, specifically states that only in institutions where extreme security concerns exist may an in-house physician be used. The memo from the PCM indicated that St. Mary's Hospital provides forensic medical exams for FMC Rochester. The memo indicated that SANE are available. The PAQ indicated that during the previous twelve months, there have been zero forensic exams conducted. During the audit period, there was not an instance where an inmate was provided a forensic medical examination so no documentation was able to be reviewed.
- 115.21 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency attempts to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center and if a rape crisis center is not available, a qualified staff member from a community-based organization or a qualified agency staff member. The Agreement between the BOP, FMC Rochester and the Dodge, Filmore and Olmstead County Community Corrections Victim Services Sexual Assault Program details the advocacy services that will be provided to offender victims in situations of Sexual Assault. Random interviews with inmates and staff interviews indicated that an advocate would be contacted and provided in the event of a sexual abuse. The inmates have access to the victim advocacy information which is provided by psychology staff and is included in the inmate handbook and the pamphlet.
- 115.21 (e): The PAQ indicated that, as requested by the victim, the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member or qualified community-based organization staff member shall accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews. The facility memo indicated that inmates are transported to St. Mary's Hospital for forensic examinations. Additionally, the facility utilizes psychology staff as well as other trained staff to provide victim support services during the forensic medical exam and during investigatory interviews. The facility currently has available eleven qualified staff members to serve as an advocate, if needed. Random interviews with inmates and staff interviews indicated that an advocate would be contacted and provided in the event of sexual abuse. The inmates have access to victim advocacy information as it is included in the inmate handbook and the pamphlet.
- 115.21 (f): The agency is responsible for conducting administrative investigations while the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. The FBI complies with all investigatory requirements under PREA standards 115.21, 115.34 and 115.71. Additionally, the FBI follows a uniform evidence protocol and utilizes the FBI Domestic Investigations and Operations Guide for training.
- 115.21 (g): The agency is responsible for conducting administrative investigations while the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. The FBI complies with all investigatory requirements under PREA standards 115.21, 115.34 and 115.71. Additionally, the FBI follows a uniform evidence protocol and utilizes the FBI Domestic Investigations and Operations Guide for training.

115.21 (h): Staff are trained to provide advocacy services inmate victims. These staff have received training titled: Forensic Medical Exams: An Overview for Victim Advocates. Based on a review of the PAQ, The PREA Investigation Policy Memo, P6031.04, the memo related to forensic exams and advocacy, the letter from the FBI, the qualified staff member documents and information from interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager and random inmates indicates this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for

investigations		
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report		
115.22 (a)		
■ Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? ✓ Yes ✓ No		
■ Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? No		
115.22 (b)		
■ Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? ⊠ Yes □ No		
■ Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? ✓ Yes No		
■ Does the agency document all such referrals? ✓ Yes ✓ No		
115.22 (c)		
■ If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA		
115.22 (d)		
 Auditor is not required to audit this provision. 		
115.22 (e)		
 Auditor is not required to audit this provision. 		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		

\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. P5508.02, Program Statement, Hostage Situations or Criminal Actions Requiring FBI Presence
- 4. Attorney General (AG) Memo Duty to Report Misconduct and Cooperate with Investigations
- 5. Attorney General Order Number 2835-2006
- 6. Memorandum of Understanding with the Federal Bureau of Investigation
- 7. Incident Reports
- 8. Investigative Reports
- 9. FBI Domestic Investigations and Operations Guide (DIOG) web link
- 10. DOJ/OIG PREA Training Curriculum

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Agency Head (Director of the BOP)
- 2. Interview with Investigative Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.22 (a): P5324.12, page 43, outlines the administrative and criminal investigative process. The PAQ indicated that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The AG Memo and AG Order 2835-2006 indicates that the Office of the Inspector General has the authority to conduct criminal and administrative investigations throughout the Department. Additionally, the MOU with the FBI as well as P5508.02 confirms that the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations related to Title 18 and/or any criminal activities at Bureau facilities. Allegations are reported to the Operations Lieutenant and immediately forwarded to the facility investigator for investigation. The PAQ indicated that there were five allegations reported within the previous twelve months.

The interview with the Director of the BOP indicated that all allegations are investigated. Specifically, the OIG investigates potential criminal cases involving staff-on-inmate sexual abuse; the OIA investigates administrative cases of staff-on-inmate sexual abuse or sexual harassment and the Special Investigative Services (SIS) Lieutenant at the facility investigates all other cases.

115.22 (b): P5324.12, page 43, outlines the administrative and criminal investigative process. The PAQ indicated that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The AG Memo and AG Order 2835-2006 indicates that the Office of the Inspector General has the authority to conduct criminal and administrative investigations throughout the Department. Additionally, the MOU with the FBI as well as P5508.02 confirms that the FBI is responsible for conducting

criminal investigations related to Title 18 and/or any criminal activities at Bureau facilities. A review of the BOP website indicated that P5508.02 which describes the FBI's authority to conduct criminal investigations is available at https://www.bop.gov/PublicInfo/execute/policysearch?todo=query.

The interview with two investigators indicated that the facility has the legal authority to conduct administrative investigations and that criminal investigations are referred to the FBI.

115.22 (c): P5324.12, page 43, outlines the administrative and criminal investigative process. The PAQ indicated that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The AG Memo and AG Order 2835-2006 indicates that the Office of the Inspector General has the authority to conduct criminal and administrative investigations throughout the Department. Additionally, the MOU with the FBI as well as P5508.02 confirms that the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations related to Title 18 and/or any criminal activities at Bureau facilities. A review of the BOP website indicated that P5508.02 which describes the FBI's authority to conduct criminal investigations is available at https://www.bop.gov/PublicInfo/execute/policysearch?todo=query.

115.22 (d): P5324.12, page 43, outlines the administrative and criminal investigative process. The PAQ indicated that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The AG Memo and AG Order 2835-2006 indicates that the Office of the Inspector General has the authority to conduct criminal and administrative investigations throughout the Department. Additionally, the MOU with the FBI as well as P5508.02 confirms that the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations related to Title 18 and/or any criminal activities at Bureau facilities. A review of the BOP website indicated that P5508.02 which describes the FBI's authority to conduct criminal investigations is available at https://www.bop.gov/PublicInfo/execute/policysearch?todo=query.

115.22 (e): The MOU with the FBI as well as P5508.02 confirms that the FBI is responsible for conducting criminal investigations related to Title 18 and/or any criminal activities at Bureau facilities. A review of the BOP website indicated that P5508.02 which describes the FBI's authority to conduct criminal investigations is available at https://www.bop.gov/PublicInfo/execute/policysearch?todo=query.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, P5508.02, the AG Memo, AG Order 2835-2006, the MOU with the FBI, the agency's website and information obtained via interviews with the Director of the BOP and investigators, this standard appears to be compliant.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.31: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.31 (a)

•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance
	policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their
	responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection,
	reporting, and response policies and procedures? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.31	(b)
•	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? $oximes$ Yes $oximes$ No
•	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.31	(c)
•	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? $\ \boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
•	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.31	(d)

	■ Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? ✓ Yes ✓ No		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
[Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

- 3. DOJ/OIG PREA Training Curriculum
- 4. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Training Curriculum and Schedule
- 5. Sample of Staff Training Records (Acknowledgement Form)

Interviews:

1. Interview with Random Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.31(a): P5324.12, pages 24-25, page 2, indicate that all staff will receive the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention program training during institutional familiarization and yearly thereafter as part of the annual refresher training. A review of the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention program training curriculum outline and PowerPoint slides confirmed that the training includes information on: the agency's zero-tolerance policy, how to fulfill their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures, the inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the right of the inmate to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in a confinement setting, the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims, how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse, how to avoid inappropriate relationship with inmates, how to communicate effectively and professionally with lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex inmates and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting. A review of a sample of staff training records indicated that 100% of those reviewed received PREA training. Interviews with random staff confirmed that they had received PREA training during annual training and that they receive information through supplemental trainings. Staff confirmed all required topics were covered in the training.

115.31 (b): P5324.12, page 25, indicate that the annual refresher training takes into consideration the gender of the inmate population at the facility. The PAQ indicated that training is tailored to the gender of

inmate population at the facility and that employees who are reassigned to facilities with opposite gender inmates are given additional training. A review of the training curriculum confirmed that the annual training includes information on male and female inmates.

115.31 (c): The PAQ indicated that 409 of the 424 staff have been trained in PREA requirements and that they receive PREA training annually. These fifteen staff that are still in need of training have been deployed for extended periods of time, on extended medical leave or on long term maternity leave due to Covid. P5324.12 indicates that new employees receive the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program training and that current employees receive the training as part of their annual training. A review of documentation confirmed that all of the staff records reviewed had received PREA training and that those hired prior to the previous twelve months (ten) had received annual refresher training. The PAQ indicates that in years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, the training is provided through recalls, conference calls, department head meetings, and emails.

115.31 (d): The PAQ as well as P5324.12, page 26, indicates that all staff are required to physically sign or electronically acknowledge that they received and understood the PREA training. A review of the training records indicate that staff are required to sign a training acknowledgement upon completion of training which states "I have received and understand the training conducted regarding the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures". A review of a sample of staff training records indicated that staff have signed the acknowledgment form.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program training curriculum, a review of a sample of staff training records as well as interviews with random staff indicate that the facility exceeds this standard. The facility conducts annual training and supplements annual training through emails, trainings during staff meetings and other mechanisms.

Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	15	.32	(a)

■ Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?

✓ Yes

✓ No

115.32 (b)

• Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.32 (c)

■ Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?

✓ Yes

✓ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Training for Level I Volunteers
- 3. Level I Volunteer Application/Training Form
- 4. Sample of Contractor Training Records
- 5. Sample of Volunteer Training Records

Interviews:

1. Interview with Contractors who have Contact with Inmates

Findings (By Provision):

115.32 (a): The PAQ indicated that volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's policies and procedures on sexual abuse and sexual harassment. All volunteers and contractors are required by the agency to receive the PREA Training for Volunteers. As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, no Level II volunteers received training in 2020 as of the date of the audit. The PAQ indicated that 15 volunteers and 103 contract staff have received PREA training. A review of a sample of training documents for contractors and volunteers indicated that they had received PREA training. Additionally, the interviews conducted with contractors confirmed that they receive PREA training each year, and that they were aware of the zero-tolerance policy and knew to immediately report to a staff member.

115.32 (b): The PAQ indicated that the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors is based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates. Additionally, the PAQ indicates that they have been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed on how to report such incidents. Volunteers and contractors are required to receive the PREA Training for Level I Volunteers. They may be required to complete the staff PREA training, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, if their level of contact warrants. A review of a sample of training documents for contractors and indicated that they had received PREA training. Additionally, the interviews conducted with contractors confirmed that they receive PREA training each year, and that they were aware of the zero-tolerance policy and knew to immediately report to a staff member. Due to the current COVID-19 pandemic, volunteers are not coming into the facility at this time.

115.32 (c): The PAQ and a review of a sample of training documents for contractors indicated that 100% of those reviewed had signed the Level I Volunteer Application/Training form. The bottom of this form has a section that reads "I am aware and understand the Federal Bureau of Prisons zero-tolerance policy on sexual abuse and sexual harassment. I have been instructed and understand how to report incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment". Based on a review of the PAQ, the PREA Training for Level I Volunteers, a review of a sample of contractor training records as well as the interviews with contractors indicates that this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.33: Inmate education

All Yes/No Questions Must	Be Answered by	the Auditor to Com	plete the Report

All fes/No Questions must be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.33 (a)
■ During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
\blacksquare During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.33 (b)
■ Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes □ No
Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? ⋈ Yes □ No
Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? ⋈ Yes □ No
115.33 (c)
■ Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)? ✓ Yes No
 Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? ☑ Yes □ No
115.33 (d)
■ Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? Yes No

•		the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those re deaf? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•		the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those re visually impaired? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•		the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those re otherwise disabled? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•		the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those ave limited reading skills? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.33	8 (e)	
•		the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? \Box No
115.33	3 (f)	
•	• In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? ⋈ Yes □ No	
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
nstru	ctions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The na	rrative i	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the

compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention, and Intervention Program
- 3. P5290.14, Program Statement, Admission and Orientation Program
- 4. Bureau's Admission and Orientation (A&O) Pamphlet on Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 6. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention-Overview for Offenders (English and Spanish versions

- 7. Inmate Admission and Orientation Handbook
- 8. Inmate Education and Orientation Attendance Records

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Intake Staff
- 2. Interview with Random Inmates

Site Review Observations:

- 1. Observations of Intake Area
- 2. Observations of PREA Signs in English and Spanish

Findings (By Provision):

115.33 (a): P5324.12, pages 26-27, outlines the requirement for inmates to receive PREA education. Page 26 specifically states that inmates receive information on the agency's zero-tolerance policy and how to report incidents or suspicion of sexual abuse or sexual harassment via the A&O pamphlet on Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program. The PAQ indicated that 490 inmates received information on the zero-tolerance policy and how to report at intake during the past 12 months. This is equivalent to 100% of inmates who received this information at intake. A review of the pamphlet confirmed that it includes information on the zero-tolerance policy and the reporting methods. A review of inmate files indicated that they had received PREA information at intake. During the tour, the auditor observed the intake area and was provided an overview of the intake process. Inmates were provided the inmate handbook and were also asked the risk screening questions during this time. The interview with intake staff indicated that the facility provides inmates information related to the zero-tolerance policy and reporting mechanism via the A&O handbook. Inmates that were interviewed indicated that they received information on the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies.

115.33 (b): P5324.12, pages 26-27, outlines the requirement for inmates to receive PREA education. Page 27 specifically discusses the comprehensive education that is provided to the inmates. The policy indicates that during the A&O Program, a designated staff member will present the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program. A review of the six-page informational document (available in English and Spanish) indicated that inmates are educated on definitions, the zero-tolerance policy, ways to prevent sexual abuse, how to report, information on the investigative process, counseling programs for victims and management programs for abusers. The PAQ indicated that 599 inmates received comprehensive PREA education within 30 days of intake. This is equivalent to over 100%. A review of inmate files indicated that they had received comprehensive PREA education within 30 days of intake. Interviews with the intake staff confirmed that all inmates receive comprehensive PREA education. Interviews with inmates indicated that they received information on the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies.

115.33 (c): The PAQ indicated that all current inmates at the facility had been educated on PREA. Additionally, P5324.12, page 27, indicates that the agency requires that all inmates who are transferred from one facility to another be educated regarding their rights under PREA to the extent that the policies and procedures of the new facility differ from those of the previous facility. All inmates are typically educated upon transfer, whether policies and procedures differ or not. Intake staff report that when an inmate attends the PREA Education and Orientation they have the ability to ask questions and share information in confidence.

115.33 (d): The PAQ indicated that PREA education is available in accessible formats for disabled and LEP inmates. The facility has staff members who are fluent in Spanish to provide accommodations for inmates who are LEP. Additionally, the agency has a contract (#GS-10F-0460N) with LanguageLine Solutions to provide translation services for inmates who are LEP. This is a service the facility can call that will translate information between the staff member and LEP inmate. The A&O pamphlet as well as the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program is available in English and Spanish. An interview was also

conducted with a wheelchair inmate and an inmate with limited reading skills. Both of these inmates stated that they were provided education on PREA policies and that they understood. An interview was also conducted with a LEP inmate through a staff translator. He stated that he was provided PREA information in Spanish and is assisted by staff to help him understand this information. A review of two LEP inmate files indicated that all received PREA information in a format they could understand.

115.33 (e): P5290.14, page 10 indicates that inmates are required to sign a copy of the A&O pamphlet at intake and that the original is placed in the inmates' central file. Additionally, the education is documented on the Institution A&O Program Checklist (Form BP-A0518) and the Unit A&O Program Checklist (Form BP-A0597). A review of inmate files indicate that they were documented to have received PREA education.

115.33 (f): The PAQ indicated that information is continuously available through posters, inmate handbooks or other written forms for the inmate population. A review of documentation indicated that the facility had PREA information via the orientation handbook, the A&O pamphlet and through PREA signage. During the tour, the auditor observed the PREA signage in each housing unit and in common areas.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, P5290.14, the A&O pamphlet, the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, the orientation handbook, a review of inmate records, observations made during the tour to include the availability of PREA information via signage and documents as well as information obtained during interviews with intake staff and random inmates indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.34 (a)

•	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the
	agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its
	investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if
	the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations.
	See 115.21(a).) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.34 (b)

	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations See 115.21(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings' (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

 Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
115.34 (c)
 Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
115.34 (d)
 Auditor is not required to audit this provision.
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
 Documents: Pre-Audit Questionnaire P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program SIS/PREA National Video Conference Agenda and Lesson Plan BP-A0194 Warning and Assurance to Employee Required to Provide Information Office of Internal Affairs – Conducting Interviews and Union Issues PowerPoint training DOJ/OIG PREA Training National Institute of Corrections (NIC): Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting course completion roster Memorandum of Understanding with the FBI Letter from the FBI BOP TRUINTEL Intelligence User Guide
Interviews:

1. Interview with Investigative Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.34 (a): P5324.12, page 28, and the PAQ indicate that investigators are required to be trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in a confinement setting. This training is completed through two curriculums: the DOJ/OIG PREA Training or the NIC: Investigation Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting. A review of an email from the Administrator of the External Auditing Branch indicated that 113 OIG field agents have completed the DOJ/OIG PREA training. A review of the facility investigators' training records indicated that they had completed the training. Additionally, a review of training records revealed that additional facility staff have completed the NIC training. The MOU and letter from the FBI indicate that they are compliant with all PREA investigatory standards to include 115.34. During the interview with two investigators, they indicated they received specialized training and they complete the NIC training annually.

115.34 (b): P5324.12, page 28, and the PAQ indicates that investigators are required to be trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in a confinement setting. This training is completed through two curriculums: the DOJ/OIG PREA Training or the NIC: Investigation Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting. A review of the training curriculums confirmed they included the following: techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or criminal prosecution. A review of an email from the Administrator of the External Auditing Branch indicated that 113 OIG field agents have completed the DOJ/OIG PREA training. A review of the facility investigators' training records indicated that they had completed the training. Additionally, a review of training records revealed that additional facility staff have completed the NIC training. The MOU and letter from the FBI indicate that they are compliant with all PREA investigatory standards to include 115.34. The interview with the investigators indicated they received specialized training and they complete the NIC training annually.

115.34 (c): The PAQ indicated that currently there are 12 facility investigators who the agency currently employs to conduct investigations at FMC Rochester. The PAQ indicated that these staff have received specialized training. A review of an email from the Administrator of the External Auditing Branch indicated that 113 OIG field agents have completed the DOJ/OIG PREA training. A review of the facility investigators' training records indicated that both investigators had completed the specialized training. The MOU and letter from the FBI indicate that they are compliant with all PREA investigatory standards to include 115.34. The interview with the investigators indicated they received specialized training and they complete the NIC training annually.

115.34 (d): All criminal sexual abuse allegations are referred to the OIG or FBI for possible criminal prosecution. When a referral is declined or the allegation does not contain a criminal element, the investigation is conducted by Bureau of Prisons investigators. A review of an email from the Administrator of the External Auditing Branch indicated that 113 OIG field agents have completed the DOJ/OIG PREA training. The MOU and letter from the FBI indicate that they are compliant with all PREA investigatory standards to include 115.34.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, the DOJ/OIG PREA Training curriculum, the NIC training curriculum, the MOU with the FBI, the letter from the FBI, a review of investigator training records as well as interviews with investigative staff, indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.35 (a)

-	who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full-or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.35	5 (b)
•	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.35	5 (c)
-	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.35	5 (d)
•	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
,	
П	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. P6031.04, Program Statement, Patient Care
- 4. Specialized Training List- Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and Psychology Services
- 5. Medical and Mental Health Staff Training Records

Interviews:

1. Interview with Medical and Mental Health Staff

Observations Site Review:

1. Observations during on-site review of physical plant

Findings (By Provision):

115.35 (a): P5324.12, pages 28-29, requires that the Health Services Division or the Reentry Services Division ensure all medical and mental health care staff complete the required specialized training. The training consists of the PREA Resource Center (PRC) PREA Medical and Mental Health Care: A Trauma Informed Approach training as well as the Forensic Medical Examinations: An Overview for Victim Advocates training. Based on a review of the training modules, they include the following topics: how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how and whom to report allegations or suspicion of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ indicated that the facility has 178 medical and mental health staff and that 100% of these staff received the specialized training. A review of medical and mental health training records indicated that those sampled had received the specialized training. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirmed that they had received the PREA specialized training.

115.35 (b): This provision does not apply. Forensic exams are not conducted on-site by any of the facility's medical staff. P6031.04, page 4, specifically states that only in institutions where extreme security concerns exist may an in-house physician be used. The facility memo indicated that inmates are transported to St.

Mary's Hospital for forensic examinations and that exams are performed by a SANE or a doctor. Interviews with medical staff confirm that they do not perform forensic medical examinations.

115.35 (c): The PAQ indicated that documentation showing the completion of the training is maintained by the agency. A review of training documents for medical and mental health care staff confirm that the completed training is documented in each employees electronic training record.

115.35 (d): Medical and mental health care staff are considered correctional workers. A review of medical and mental health staff members training documents indicated that 100% of those reviewed completed the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program training.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, P6031.04, the memo regarding forensic examinations, a review of medical and mental health care staff training records as well as interviews with medical and mental health care staff indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.41	(a)
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(b)
•	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.41	(c)
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.41	(d)

Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental

PREA Audit Report – V6.

disability? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(e)
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior acts of sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior convictions for violent offenses? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•		ssing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening er, as known to the agency, history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? \Box No
115.41	(f)	
•	facility ı	a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, it information received by the facility since the intake screening? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(g)	
•		ne facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral? $\ \square$ No
•	Does th ⊠ Yes	ne facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request? \square No
•		he facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual $oxed{oxed}$ Yes $\oxed{\Box}$ No
•		he facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional ation that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? \Box No
115.41	(h)	
•	comple	case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing te information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), or (d)(9) of this section? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(i)	
•	respons	e agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of ses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive ation is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instruc	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program PREA
- 3. PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument
- 5. Intake Screening Form
- 8. Psychology Services Inmate Questionnaire
- 9. Psychology Services Risk of Sexual Abusiveness
- 10. Inmate Assessment and Re-Assessment Documents
- 11. Email from staff conducting intake screening to Unit Team staff advising at low risk of abusiveness/victimization

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
- 2. Interview with Random Inmates
- 3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
- 4. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Site Review Observations:

- 1. Observations of Risk Screening Area
- 2. Observations of Where Inmate Files are Located

Findings (By Provision):

115.41 (a): P5324.12, pages 29-32 describe the risk screening process. It indicates that inmates will be assessed during the intake screening for their risk of being sexual abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates. P5324.12 further states that if an inmate is determined to be at risk of being sexually victimized by or being sexually abusive toward other inmates, they will be referred to Psychology Services for a reassessment. During the tour, the auditor observed the intake area. The risk screening is conducted in private offices in intake. Interviews with random inmates confirm that they were asked questions either the same day or the next day. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that inmates are screened using the screening instrument.

115.41 (b): P5324.12, page 30, indicates that all inmates will be assessed during the intake screening for their risk of being sexual abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates within 72 hours. The PAQ indicated that inmates are screened within this timeframe and that 470 inmates were screened within 72 hours over the previous twelve months. This indicates that 100% of those whose length of stay was for 72 hours or more received the risk screening within 72 hours. A review of a sample of inmate records confirmed that all were screened within 72 hours.

115.41 (c): The PAQ indicated that the risk screening is conducted using an objective screening instrument. A review of the Intake Screening Form indicated that inmates are asked yes or no questions and a few of these questions are then utilized on the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument. The screening instrument includes sections that are determined based on the inmate's history (which can be found in his file).

- 115.41 (d): A review of the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument indicates that the intake screening considers the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: whether the inmate has a mental, physical or developmental disability; the age of the inmate; the physical build of the inmate; whether the inmate was previously incarcerated; whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent; whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child; whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender nonconforming and whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes. The Intake Form takes into consideration whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization and the inmate's own perception of vulnerability. The Intake Form information is then transferred over to be included in the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument. Interviews with staff who perform the risk screening indicated that the required components are included and that the majority of the questions are yes or no format, with a few that are open ended.
- 115.41 (e): A review of the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument confirms that the intake screening considers the following: prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses and prior institutional violence or sexual abuse known to the facility. Interviews with intake staff confirm that these criteria are considered and utilized to determine if the inmate is a potential predator and how to house accordingly. Interviews with staff who perform the risk screening indicated that the required components are included and that the majority of the questions are yes or no format, with a few that are open ended.
- 115.41(f): P5324.12, page 32 indicates that inmates would be reassessed for the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness within 30 days from their arrival by facility staff, ordinarily Psychology Services and Unit Management staff. The PAQ indicated that the facility requires inmates to be reassessed and that within 30 days of their arrival. Interviews with staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that inmates are reassessed within 30 days. Interviews with random inmates indicated that some did not remember a reassessment. A review of a sample of inmate files indicated that inmates were reassessed within the 30-day timeframe. It should be noted that the reassessments are not in the same format as the initial assessments. Inmates are not asked all of the initial risk screening questions, which may be attributed to the inmates not remembering the reassessments.
- 115.41 (g): P5324.12, page 32, indicates that inmates would be reassessed for their risk of victimization or abusiveness when warranted due to referral, request, incident of sexual abuse or receipt of additional information that bears on their risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. This reassessment would be completed by Psychology Services staff. Interviews with staff indicated that any inmate who alleged sexual abuse would be administered a reassessment during their mental health evaluation. The necessary information would then be passed on to unit management staff to review. Interviews with staff responsible for risk screening indicated that inmates are also reassessed when warranted. The interviews with random inmates indicated that some did not remember the risk assessment being conducted after the initial time. It should be noted that the reassessments are not in the same format as the initial assessments. Inmates are not asked all of the initial risk screening questions, which may be attributed to the inmates not remembering the reassessments.
- 115.41 (h): P5324.12, page 32, indicates that inmates would not be disciplined for refusing to answer the following questions during the risk screening: whether or not the inmate has a mental, physical or developmental disability; whether or not the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender nonconforming; whether or not the inmate previously experienced sexual victimization and the inmate's own perception of vulnerability. The PAQ indicated that inmates are not disciplined for refusing to answer. The interview with the staff responsible for risk screening indicated that inmates are not disciplined for refusing to answer any of the questions in the risk screening.
- 115.41 (i): P5324.12, page 32 as well as the PAQ indicated that the agency has implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination of the screening information to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates. Specifically, the policy states that information is

disseminated on a need-to-know basis for staff. Interviews with the PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager and staff responsible for the risk screening indicate that the information obtained during the risk screening is limited to staff who have a need to know. This would include the Unit Team and those individuals who determine housing and work assignments.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, the Intake Screening Form, the PREA Intake Objective Screening Instrument, a review of inmate files and information from interviews with the PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, staff responsible for conducting the risk screenings and random inmates indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.42: Use of screening information

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.	42 (a)
------	--------

113.42	. (a)
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	(b)
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each

115.42 (c)

When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to

inmate? ⊠ Yes □ No

	a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	2 (d)
•	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	2 (e)
•	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	2 (f)
•	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.42	2 (g)
•	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBTQI inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Sample of Housing Determination Documents
- 4. Memorandum from Warden regarding Use of Screening Information
- 5. Participant Staff Sign-In Log for Training PREA Quarterly Meeting Transgender Review with PowerPoint
- 6. Participant Staff Sign-In Log for Training PREA Semi-Annual Transgender Review with PowerPoint
- 7. RCH5324.12A Sexually Abusive Behavior and Intervention Program
- 8. Memorandum from Associate Warden/PCM regarding Inmates at Risk of Sexual Victimization and Inmates at risk for Sexual Abusiveness

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
- 2. Interview with PREA Coordinator
- 3. Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- 4. Interviews with Random Inmates and Gay/Bisexual Inmates

Site Review Observations:

- 1. Location of Inmate Records
- 2. Housing Assignments of Inmates
- 3. Shower Area in Housing Units

Findings (By Provision):

115.42 (a): P5324.12, page 33 indicates that the agency uses the information from the risk screening to recommend housing, bed, work, education and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate inmates at high risk of being sexual abused from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. Specifically, the policies indicate that Psychology Services maintain a "PREA at Risk" list which is utilized to make decisions and enhance monitoring of those inmates at an increased risk. Policy indicates that the list is utilized to make housing, work and program decisions for these inmates. The interview with the PREA Compliance Manager indicated that information is given to Psychology Services and if they have any

concerns a decision would be made regarding the need for more appropriate housing. The Chief of Correctional Services (Captain) reviews the list of inmates to ensure inmates are housed appropriately. The interviews with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated these lists of inmates are reviewed and a list is updated weekly which is used for housing, work and program assignments. The staff members indicated they would also check periodically to ensure that the recommendations for housing, program and work assignments are being followed. A review of inmate files and of inmate housing and work assignments confirmed that inmates at high risk of victimization and inmates at high risk of being sexually abusive were not housed together. Additionally, they do not work together nor attend education or other programs together, to the extent possible.

- 115.42 (b): P5324.12, page 33 and the PAQ indicated that the agency makes individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that the Unit Manager will review the lists of inmates to ensure that inmates are housed appropriately.
- 115.42 (c): P5324.12, page 33, indicates that housing and program assignments for transgender and intersex inmates are considered on a case-by-case basis to ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether the placement would present management or security problems. The PAQ indicated that this practice is taking place within the agency. The interview with the PCM indicated that the agency housing unit determinations are made on a case-by-case basis by the Executive Staff. The local facility housing determinations for transgender inmates are also on a case-by-case basis. During screening, transgender inmates would be asked about their safety by staff at the facility during intake screening. There were no transgender inmates at the facility during the on-site portion of the audit.
- 115.42 (d): P5324.12, page 33, indicates that housing and program assignments for transgender and intersex inmates are reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to the inmate's safety. The interview with the PC and staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that transgender and intersex inmates are reassessed at least twice a year. There were no transgender inmates at the facility during the on-site portion of the audit.
- 115.42 (e): P5324.12, page 33, indicates that the transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her safety is given serious consideration. The interview with the PCM and staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that transgender and intersex inmates are asked about their safety during the assessments and this information is given serious consideration. The interviews with random inmates indicated that they were asked about their safety by staff at the facility during intake. There were no transgender inmates at the facility during the on-site portion of the audit.
- 115.42 (f): P5324.12, page 33, indicates that transgender and intersex inmates are given the opportunity to shower separately. During the tour it was confirmed that all inmates are provided privacy while showering. The facility housing units contain single person showers with shower curtains. The interview with the PCM and the staff responsible for risk screening confirmed that transgender and intersex inmates can shower separately. There were no transgender inmates at the facility during the on-site portion of the audit.
- 115.42 (g): P5324.12, page 33, the PAQ and a review of housing assignments indicate that all of the housing units are the same. The interviews with the PC and PCM confirmed that LGBTI inmates are not placed in one specific housing unit. The interviews with random inmates as well as LGBTI inmates indicated that they were not housed in a unit specifically due to their identification status. There were no transgender inmates at the facility during the on-site portion of the audit.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, a review of inmate housing assignments, and information from interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager, staff responsible for conducting risk screenings, random inmates, LBGTI inmates and transgender inmates, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.43: Protective Custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.43 ((a)
i r	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? \boxtimes Yes \square No
i	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.43 ((b)
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
t	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility *never* restricts access to

If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility *never* restricts access

115.43 (c)

programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

hou	es the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated using only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? Yes $\ \square$ No		
■ Do	es such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No		
115.43 (d)			
sec	n involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this ction, does the facility clearly document the basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's ety? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
sec	n involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this ction, does the facility clearly document the reason why no alternative means of separation be arranged? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.43 (e)			
risk	the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a natinuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? Yes No		
Auditor O	Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Instructio	ns for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		
compliance conclusion not meet th	ive below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the e or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's s. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does be standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by a on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.		
2. P5324.1	s: it Questionnaire 2, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Memo from Warden		
	: v with the Warden v with Staff who Supervise Inmates in Segregated Housing		
Site Revie	w Observations:		

1. Observations of the facility while on-site

Findings (By Provision):

115.43 (a): P5324.12, page 33, indicates that the agency does not place inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregation unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and no alternative is available to separate the inmate victims from likely abusers. The PAQ indicated there have been no instances where inmates have been placed in involuntary segregated housing due to their risk of sexual victimization.

115.43 (b): P5324.12, page 34, indicates that if an inmate was placed in segregation that they would have access to programs, privileges, education and work opportunities to the extent possible and all limitations would be documented with indication of the reason and length of time of limitation. The policy indicates that the Chief of Correctional Services is responsible for documenting any such limitations, duration and rationale. The interviews with random staff were conducted and indicated that inmates would have access to everything except a work assignment.

115.43 (c): P5324.12, page 34, indicates that if an inmate was placed in segregation due to risk of victimization they would only be placed until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers could be arranged, and such assignment would not ordinarily exceed 30 days. The policy indicates that the Warden would review, complete and sign BP-A1002 form and place a copy in the inmate's central file. The PAQ indicated there have been no instances where inmates have been placed in involuntary segregated housing due to their risk of sexual victimization. The interview with the Warden indicated that inmates would only be placed in involuntary segregated housing until an alternative means of separation could be arranged.

115.43 (d): P5324.12, pages 34-35, indicates that if an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made that the facility will clearly document the basis for the concern for the inmate's safety and the reasons that no alternatives mean of separation can be arranged. Additionally, policy indicates that the inmate will receive mental health services at least every 30 days. The PAQ indicated there have been no instances where inmates have been placed in involuntary segregated housing due to their risk of sexual victimization.

115.43(e): P5324.12, page 35 and the PAQ indicate that if an inmate was placed in segregated housing due to risk of victimization, they would be reviewed every 30 days to determine if there was a continued need for the inmate to be separated from the general population. Specifically, policy indicates that inmates would be reviewed weekly at the Special Housing Unit Meeting. There were no inmates housed in segregated housing for risk of sexual victimization or who allege to have suffered sexual abuse during the time of the on-site audit.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, BP-A1002, observations from the facility tour, as well as information from the interview with the Warden and staff indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.

REPORTING

Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.51 (a)

-		harassment? Yes No
•		he agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report retaliation by nmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		he agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report staff neglect or on of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.51	(b)	
•		he agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual ment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual and sexual harassment to agency officials? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		hat private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? $\hfill\Box$ No
•	contac Securit	mates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to trelevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland ty? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes) \square No \square NA
115.51	(c)	
•		staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, mously, and from third parties? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? $\ \Box$ No
115.51	(d)	
•		he agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual ment of inmates? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
Audito	or Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. P3420.11, Program Statement, Standards of Employee Conduct
- 4. RCH5324.12A, Institution Supplement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 5. Memo from Facility Warden regarding PREA Inmate Reporting Procedures
- 6. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention An Overview for Offenders
- 7. PREA Posters
- 8. Inmate A&O Handbook
- 9. Memo from SIS Lieutenant informing outcome of verbal reports
- 10. Warden to Warden Correspondences
- 11. PCM Information Tracking Log

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Random Staff
- 2. Interview with Random Inmates
- 3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Site Review Observations:

1. Observation of PREA Reporting Information in all Housings Units

Findings (By Provision):

115.51 (a): P5324.12, page 35, indicates that the agency provides multiple ways for inmates to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. A review of additional documentation to include the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program inmate education and PREA signage indicated that there are multiple ways for inmates to report. These methods include: to any employee, contractor or volunteer via a "drop-note" or other written communication; via a grievance (administrative remedy); to the OIG either via the inmate's personal email or via a written letter, and via TRULINCS email. Additionally, inmates can report via third-party. The third-party can call, write or email. They are also able to voice a concern on the agency website at: https://www.bop.gov/inmates/concerns.jsp . During the tour, it was observed that information pertaining to how to report PREA allegations was posted in all housing units. Interviews with a sample of inmates confirm that they are aware of the methods to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment and that they were informed on these methods. Most inmates indicated that they would tell a staff member, do it through the computer (copout or email) or via inmate phone (hotline). Interviews with random staff confirm that they take all allegations seriously and that inmates have multiple ways (verbal, written, electronic, anonymous and third-party) to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

115.51 (b): P5324.12, page 35, indicates that the agency has a way for inmates to report abuse or harassment to a public or private entity that is not part of the agency, and that the entity can immediately forward the information to agency officials. Inmates can report to the OIG via their personal email or via a written letter. Additionally, third parties can contact the OIG on behalf of the inmate. During the tour, it was

observed that information pertaining to how to report PREA allegations was posted in all housing units. All inmates have access to the computer email system and can send a confidential email directly to the Office of the Inspector General. The interview with the PCM indicated that the outside information is located on posters and they can send information to the OIG. The PCM indicated that the information would be forwarded back to the facility investigator or to SIS if it involved staff. Interviews with a sample of inmates confirm that most are aware of the email reporting mechanism and that the information is posted in their housing area.

115.51 (c): P5324.12, page 35, notes that staff are required to accept all reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously and from a third-party and will promptly document any verbal reports. The memo from the Warden as well as the PAQ indicated that staff accept all reports and that they immediately document any verbal allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Interviews with a sample of inmates confirm that they are aware of the methods available for reporting. Interviews with a sample of staff indicate they accept all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and they immediately report any allegation to the Operations Lieutenant and fill out the form on the computer.

115.51 (d): P5324.12, pages 35-36, describes that the agency provides a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. The PAQ and policy indicates staff can privately report to the Office of the Inspector General, Office of Internal Affairs or any supervisory staff. Additionally, staff are informed of the way to report via BOP PREA Notices and via P3420.11. Interviews with a sample of staff indicate that they can privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates to facility leadership or through the form on the computer.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, P3420.11, the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention – an Overview for Offenders, the Memo from the PCM, PREA signage, observations from the facility tour related to PREA signage and posted information and interviews with the PCM, random inmates and random staff, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.52 (a)

Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not
have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This
does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not
ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of
explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual
abuse. □ Yes ⊠ No

115.52 (b)

•	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse
	without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any
	portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is
	exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

•	or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	2 (c)
•	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	2 (d)
•	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	2 (e)
•	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	(f)

•	inmate	e agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from andard.) $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No \oxtimes NA
•	immine thereof immed	eceiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of ent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion f that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which iate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.). \square No \square NA
•		eceiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial se within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	decisio	eceiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency on within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \Box No \Box NA
•	whethe	he initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination or the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt his standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•		he initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency nce? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•		he agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the ency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	(g)	
•	do so (gency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it DNLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
Audito	r Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
l 4	.4! 4	ion Overell Compliance Determination Namethy

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P1330.18, Program Statement, Administrative Remedy Program
- 3. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention An Overview for Offenders (English and Spanish Versions)
- 4. Grievance Log and Sample Grievances
- 5. Memorandum from PCM regarding Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies
- 6. RCH1330.18B Institutional Supplement, Administrative Remedy Program
- 7. Admission and Orientation Handbook
- 8. Memo from Warden noting no grievances filed alleging sexual abuse

Interviews:

1. Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.52 (a): P1330.18 is the policy related to inmate grievances/administrative remedy. The PAQ indicated that the agency is not exempt from this standard.

115.52 (b): P1330.18 describes the grievance process for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Specifically, page 4 indicates that the agency does not impose a time limit on when an inmate may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse. Page 4 also discusses that the agency does not require an inmate to use the informal grievance process, or attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. A review of the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program education, page 3 discusses administrative remedy procedures. The PAQ indicated that there were no documented PREA allegations on the grievance log. A review of the grievance log indicated that there was one PREA grievance filed in the previous 12 months.

115.52 (c): P1330.18 outlines the grievance process for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Pages 6 and 14 specifically state that the inmate may submit a grievance without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint and grievances will not be referred to staff members who are the subject of the complaint. A review of the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program education, page 3 discusses administrative remedy procedures. The PAQ indicated that there were no documented PREA allegations on the grievance log. A review of the grievance log indicated that there was one PREA grievance filed in the previous 12 months.

115.52 (d): P1330.18, page 14, section d, outlines the grievance process for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Specifically, that the agency would issue a final decision on grievances related to sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing. The 90 days does not include the time used by the inmate to prepare any administrative appeal. The agency may claim an extension up to 70 days if the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision. The inmate must be notified in writing of the extension and be provided a date by which the decision will be made. The policy also indicates that if the inmate does not receive a response within the allotted timeframe, the inmate will consider the absence of a response to be a denial. The PAQ indicated that there were no grievances of sexual abuse filed in the previous twelve months. A review of the grievance log indicated that there was one PREA grievance filed in the previous 12 months. An interview with an inmate who reported sexual abuse indicated that he did not file a grievance, but rather reported by verbally telling a staff member.

115.52 (e): P1330.18, page 14, section e, outlines the grievance process for third-party allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Specifically, that third parties are permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies for sexual abuse and are permitted to file such requests on behalf of the inmate. In addition, it states that if a third-party file a report on behalf of an inmate that the agency may require the alleged victim to agree with the request prior to filing and if the inmate declines will require the inmate to complete a sworn affidavit stating he does not want the grievance to proceed. The PAQ indicated that there have not been any third-party grievances filed in the previous twelve months. A review of the grievance log indicated there were no third-party PREA grievances filed in the previous 12 months.

115.52 (f): P1330.18, page 14, section f, outlines the grievance process for allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Specifically, that the agency provides inmates the opportunity to file an emergency grievance alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the grievance will be addressed immediately. The policy indicates that an initial response will be provided within 48 hours and that a final decision will be provided within five calendar days. The final decision will document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the action taken in response to the emergency grievance. The PAQ indicated that there have been zero emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse filed in the previous twelve months. A review of the grievance log indicated that there were no emergency grievances filed related to PREA in the previous 12 months.

115.52 (g): P1330.18, page 16, indicates that inmates may be disciplined for filing a grievance in bad faith. The PAQ indicated that no inmates have been disciplined for filing a grievance in bad faith in the previous twelve months.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P1330.18, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention – An Overview for Offenders, the grievance log and sample grievances, the Memorandum from the Warden regarding Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.53 (a	1	1	5	.53	(a
-----------	---	---	---	-----	----

110.00 (a)
■ Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) Yes No NA
■ Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.53 (b)

■ Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? ✓ Yes ✓ No				
115.53 (c)				
 Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?				
■ Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? ■ Yes □ No				
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)				
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative				
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.				
Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program 3. RCH5324.12A, Institution Supplement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program 4. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention – An Overview for Offenders 5. Admission and Orientation Handbook (English and Spanish Versions) 6. MOU between BOP and Dodge, Filmore and Olmstead County Community Corrections Victim Services Sexual Assault Program				
Interviews: 1. Interview with Random Inmates 2. Interview with an Inmate Who Reported Sexual Abuse				
Site Review Observations: 1. Memorandum Regarding the Facility Efforts to Obtain Victim Advocacy Service				
Findings (By Provision):				

115.53 (a): P5324.12, page 36, indicates that the agency provides access to outside victim advocates for emotional support related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers to victim advocates or rape crisis organizations and enables reasonable communication in as confidential a manner as possible. The PAQ indicated that inmates were provided mailing addresses and phone numbers and that they enabled reasonable communication with these services in as confidential a manner as possible. The Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program inmate education indicates inmates can contact the services by telephone or by sending a letter. The facility has been able to obtain a MOU with Dodge, Filmore and Olmstead County Community Corrections Victim Services Sexual Assault Program

Psychology Services to provide inmates with advocacy services. Interviews with random inmates indicated that most were familiar with the services provided by Psychology Services. One inmate was interviewed who had reported sexual abuse in the past 12 months. This inmate was aware of the services provided by Psychology Services and stated that he had spoken to the staff in Psychology Services many times on a variety of things. Interviews with random inmates were also conducted. Inmates are not detained solely for civil immigration purposes at the facility, therefore, that part of the provision does not apply.

115.53 (b): P5324.12, page 36, indicates that prior to giving inmates access to outside support services that they are informed of the extent which communication will be monitored as well as any mandatory reporting rules and limits to confidentially. Policy indicates that confidential is not the same as privileged communication and as such communication is monitored consistent with security practices. Interviews with random inmates indicated that most were familiar with the advocacy information and stated that information is provided to them in their packet of information at intake and orientation. Most inmates indicated they believed that any contact with these services would be confidential. The information provided by the inmate who had reported sexual abuse was consistent with that of the random inmates. He stated that the phones are monitored and that he believed conversation with staff would remain confidential. Inmates are not detained solely for civil immigration purposes at the facility, therefore that part of the provision does not apply.

115.53 (c): The facility was able to obtain a MOU with the Dodge, Filmore and Olmstead County Community Corrections Victim Services Sexual Assault Program.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12 the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention – An Overview for Offenders, observations from the facility tour related to PREA signage and posted information and interviews with random inmates, and an inmate who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.54 (a)

•	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexua
	harassment? ⊠ Yes. □ No

■ Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?

✓ Yes

✓ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instruc	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
complia conclus not med	ance or a sions. The et the st	nelow must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does andard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Docum 1.		dit Questionnaire
Finding	gs (By F	Provision):
and sex harassr on beha https://v	xual har ment on alf of an www.bo	e PAQ indicated that the agency has a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse assment and publicly distributes that information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual behalf of an inmate. A review of the agency's website confirms that third parties can report inmate via the "voice your inmate concern" form located at p.gov/inmates/concerns.jsp
		тем ст. и.е. ст. и.е. од ст. у ст. и.е.
	OFFI	CIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT
Stand	dard 1	15.61: Staff and agency reporting duties
All Yes	s/No Qu	uestions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.61	(a)	
•	knowle	he agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any dge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual ment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	knowle	he agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any dge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported dent of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		ne agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any dge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities

		by have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? $\hfill\square$ No	
115.61	(b)		
	Apart for revealing necess	rom reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from ng any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent sary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security anagement decisions? ⊠ Yes □ No	
115.61	(c)		
•	practitie	otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health oners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	
•		edical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty ort, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.61	(d)		
•	local vu	lleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or ulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State I services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ⊠ Yes □ No	
115.61	(e)		
•		he facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-nd anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instruc	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative	
complia conclus not me	ance or a sions. The et the st	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does tandard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.	
Docum 1. Pre-/		uestionnaire	

2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Random Staff
- 2. Interview with Medical and Mental Health Staff
- 3. Interview with the Warden
- 4. Interview with the PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.61 (a): P5324.12, pages 37-38, outline the staff and agency reporting duties. Specifically, it requires all staff to report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation against any inmate or staff that reported such incidents and any staff neglect or violation of responsibility that may have contributed to an incident to the Operations Lieutenant. Staff are required to provide a written follow-up memo to the Operations Lieutenant. The Lieutenant is then required to notify the PREA Compliance Manager. The allegation is then entered into the Bureau's intelligence database. The PAQ along with interviews with random staff confirm that they take all allegations seriously and that they know they are required to report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Interviews with random staff also confirmed they would report retaliation or any staff neglect related to these incident types.

115.61 (b): P5324.12, page 38, describes that information is on a need-to-know basis and that information is only utilized for the inmate's welfare and the investigation of the incident. The PAQ along with interviews with random staff confirm that they would immediately report the information to the Operations Lieutenant. Staff indicated they would only provide information to the Operations Lieutenant by filling out the PREA form that is on each facility computer.

115.61 (c): P5324.12, page 38, indicates that medical and mental health staff are required to report sexual abuse as described in section (a) and that they are required to inform inmates of their duty to report and limits to confidentiality at the initiation of services. The PAQ along with interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirm that they would immediately report any allegation of sexual abuse that occurred within a confinement setting. Medical and mental health care staff indicated that they inform inmates of the limits of confidentiality.

115.61 (d): P5324.12, page 38, indicates that any alleged victims under the age of eighteen or considered to be a vulnerable adult would require the agency to report the allegation to the designated State or local service agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws. The PAQ along with interviews with the PCM and the Warden indicated that they had not had any of these reports but if they did, they would report the allegation and then also report to the appropriate state or local service agency as required under the mandatory reporting laws.

115.61 (e): P5324.12, page 38, indicates that all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports would be reported to the facility's designated investigators. The PAQ along with the interview with the Warden confirmed that this is the practice. A review of investigative reports indicate that all allegations are reported initially to SIS and then forwarded to OIA, OIG or the FBI as required by policy.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, investigative reports and interviews with random staff, medical and mental health staff, the PC, PCM and the Warden confirm this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.62 (a)
----------	----

When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?

⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Memorandum from Warden reporting no incidents of imminent risk at facility

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Agency Head (Director of the BOP)
- 2. Interview with the Warden
- 3. Interview with Random Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.62 (a): P5324.12, pages 38-39, indicate that when the agency learns that an inmate is subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the inmate victim. The policy indicates that the Operations Lieutenant will be notified and he/she will take immediate action to safeguard the inmate victim. This may include monitoring the situation, changes in housing assignments, changes in work assignments, placing the alleged victim and perpetrator in segregated or specialized housing, reassignment of the staff member to another post or removal of the staff member from the facility. The PAQ noted that there were no inmate victims who were determined to be at risk of imminent sexual abuse. Interviews indicated that if an inmate is at imminent risk that staff would immediately contact the Operations Lieutenant and remove the inmate victim from the situation. The interviews with the Director of the BOP and Warden indicated that any inmate at risk would be immediately safeguarded and then additional steps would be taken depending on the situation. The inmate victim may require a change in job assignment, housing assignment and/or program assignment. The inmate may be transferred to another facility or the perpetrator

may be transferred to another facility. Inmates may be placed in segregated housing for the least amount of time necessary for a determination to be made regarding the safety of the inmate victim. Additionally, the interviews indicated that appropriate measures may also include moving a staff member's work assignment or removing the staff from the facility until the investigation is complete.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12 and interviews with the Director of the BOP, Warden and random staff indicate that this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

			•	•	•	
115.63	3 (a)					
•	facility, does the	g an allegation that an inchesion the dead of the facility the fice of the agency where	nat received the alle	gation notify the	e head of the facility	or
115.63	3 (b)					
•	Is such notifica allegation? ⊠	ation provided as soon Yes □ No	as possible, but no l	ater than 72 ho	ours after receiving th	ne
115.63	3 (c)					
	Does the ager	ncy document that it ha	s provided such noti	fication? ⊠ Ye	s □ No	
115.63	3 (d)					
•		ty head or agency offic in accordance with the			sure that the allegati	on
Audito	or Overall Com	pliance Determinatio	n			
	☐ Excee	ds Standard (Substan	tially exceeds require	ement of stand	ards)	
		Standard (Substantial rd for the relevant revie	•	es in all materia	al ways with the	
	☐ Does I	Not Meet Standard (R	equires Corrective A	ction)		

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Warden to Warden Correspondence

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Agency Head (Director of the BOP)
- 2. Interview with the Warden

Findings (By Provision):

115.63 (a). P5324.12, pages 39-40, describe the requirements for reporting to other confinement facilities. Specifically, it requires that upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the Warden will notify the appropriate staff within the agency or the appropriate office if it is outside of the agency. The PAQ indicated that during the previous twelve months, the facility had two inmates report that they were abused while confined at another facility. Subsequently, memorandums were sent to the Wardens/Directors at the other facilities.

115.63 (b): P5324.12, page 40, describes the requirements for reporting to other confinement facilities. Specifically, it requires that upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the notification will be made as soon as possible, but not later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. The PAQ indicated that during the previous twelve months, the facility had no inmates report that they were abused while confined at another facility.

115.63 (c): P5324.12, page 40, describes the requirements for reporting to other confinement facilities. Specifically, it requires that upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the required notification will be documented. The PAQ indicated that during the previous twelve months, the facility had no inmates report that they were abused while confined at another facility.

115.63 (d): P5324.12, page 40, indicates that the facility head or agency head that receives notification that an inmate alleges they were sexually abuse shall ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards. The PAQ indicated that during the previous twelve months, the facility has received no allegations from another facility that an inmate reported to them he was sexually abused while housed at FMC Rochester. The interview with the Director of the BOP indicated that this information is typically provided from other agencies to the Warden of the institution where the alleged incident occurred. The Director of the BOP indicated that these allegations are then investigated either locally at the facility or via OIA. The interview with the Warden confirmed that when the facility receives these allegations they are immediately forwarded for investigation. The Warden indicated that there was one allegation of this type in the previous 12 months.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, and interviews with the Director of the BOP and Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.64	· (a)
--------	-------

•	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff
	member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?
	⊠ Yes □ No

	membe	earning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until priate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	member actions changi	earning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any sthat could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, ng clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? \boxtimes Yes \square No
-	member actions changi	earning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any is that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, ng clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.64	(b)	
•	that the	rst staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request e alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify y staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	r Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
Instruc		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
The na complia conclus not me	ctions for the stance or sions. The the stance of the stan	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
The na complia conclus not me information. Pre-	ctions for the station on the station and the	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action) for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative Delow must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does tandard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by

Findings (By Provision):

115.64 (a). P5324.12, page 40, describes staff first responder duties. Specifically, it requires that upon learning that an inmate was sexually abused, the first responder custody staff member will: separate the alleged victim and the alleged perpetrator, preserve and protect any crime scene until evidence can be collected and if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence request that the alleged victim and ensure that the alleged perpetrator not take any action to destroy physical evidence, including washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating. The PAQ indicated that during the previous twelve months, there have been five allegations of sexual abuse. All random staff interviewed were well versed on their first responder duties. Staff all had a card that they can utilize during incidents to ensure they complete all the required steps. All staff indicated they would separate the alleged victim and alleged perpetrator, would secure the crime scene and would instruct inmates not to destroy any physical evidence. Staff also indicated they would take the inmate to medical after notifying the Operations Lieutenant. An interview with an inmate who reported sexual abuse indicated that staff responded quickly, however, this was not a situation that would have had any physical evidence to collect. The inmate did tell the auditor that he was separated from the alleged abuser.

115.64 (b): P5324.12, page 40, describe staff first responder duties. Specifically, it requires if the first responder is not a custody staff member, the responder shall advise the alleged victim and ensure the alleged perpetrator not take any action to destroy physical evidence, if it occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence. Additionally, policy indicates that the first responder must preserve the crime scene for SIS. The PAQ indicated that during the previous twelve months, there have been five allegations of sexual abuse. Interviews with first responders confirmed that they are aware of their first responder duties.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12 and interviews with first responders and random staff, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

4	4		c	_	- 1	(a)
		- 1	_0	-		4

•	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first
	responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taker
	in response to an incident of sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. One Source First Responder Reference Guide
- 4. RCH5324.12A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Warden

Findings (By Provision):

115.65 (a): The PAQ as well as P5324.12, page 40, indicated that the facility has a written plan which coordinates actions taken in response to incidents of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health staff, investigators and facility leaders. A review of RCH5324.12A showed that all areas are accounted for in the plan. Sections include actions and responsibilities required for each area. The Warden confirmed that the facility has a plan and that it includes all the required components. Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, RCH5324.12A and the interview with the Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.66 (a)

• Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? ⋈ Yes ☐ No

115.66 (b)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)

□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Documents:
 Pre-Audit Questionnaire Council of Prison Locals – American Federation of Government Employees Master Agreement
Interviews: 1. Interview with the Agency Head (Director of the BOP)
Findings (By Provision):
115.66 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has entered into or renewed a collective bargaining agreement since July 20, 2017. Page 70 of the Master Agreement indicates that the employer may reassign the employee to another job within the institution or remove the employee from the institution pending investigation and resolution of the matter. The interview with the Director of the BOP confirmed that the agency has a collective bargaining agreement, however article 30g of the Master Agreement permits the agency to remove an employee from the institution when an allegation adversely affects the agency's confidence in the employee or the security of the institution.
115.66 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency has entered into or renewed a collective bargaining agreement since July 20, 2017. Page 70 of the Master Agreement indicates that the employer may reassign the employee to another job within the institution or remove the employee from the institution pending investigation and resolution of the matter.
Based on a review of the PAQ, the Master Agreement and the interview with the Director of the BOP, this standard appears to be compliant.
Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.67 (a)
■ Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? Yes □ No
■ Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? ✓ Yes ✓ No

115.67	(b)
•	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services, for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.67	(c)
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative

■ Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?

Yes □ No

performance reviews of staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No

■ Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?

✓ Yes

✓ No

115.67 (d)

 In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? ⊠ Yes □ No 			
115.67 (e)			
 If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, doe the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? ☑ Yes □ No 			
115.67 (f)			
 Auditor is not required to audit this provision. 			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Monitoring Documents

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Agency Head (Director of the BOP)
- 2. Interview with the Warden
- 3. Interview with Designated Staff Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation
- 4. Interview with Inmate Who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.67(a): P5324.12, pages 42-43, outline the agency's method for protection against retaliation. It addresses that the agency will protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse and sexual harassment from retaliation by other inmates and staff and has designated staff responsible for monitoring. The PAQ indicated that the facility has a policy and that the PREA Compliance Manager is responsible for monitoring for retaliation.

115.67 (b): P5324.12, page 42, addresses the multiple measures that the facility will take to protect inmates and staff. These measures include housing changes or transfers for inmate victims, removal of the alleged staff abuser from contact with the victim and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting. A review of investigative reports and monitoring documents indicated that there have been no allegations of retaliation nor any reported fear of retaliation. Interviews with the Director of the BOP, Warden and staff responsible for monitoring retaliation all indicated that protective measures would be taken if an inmate or staff member expressed fear of retaliation. The interview with the Director of the BOP indicated that the PCM would monitor the inmate and monitoring would include housing and cell reassignments, work reassignments, programming changes and disciplinary action. The Director of the BOP indicated for staff it could include reassignment of work posts, performance evaluations and shift changes. The Warden and staff responsible for monitoring indicated inmates would be reviewed for up to 90 days for retaliation and that staff could be removed from the area or facility and the inmates could be moved to another facility.

115.67 (c): P5324.12, page 43, requires that the facility will monitor the inmate for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse and will monitor the conduct and treatment of the inmate or staff to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation and will act promptly to remedy any retaliation. The policy requires that the process include monitoring any inmate disciplinary reports, housing or program changes or any negative performance reviews or reassignments of staff. The policy indicates that monitoring can extend beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a need to continue. The policy states that the PREA Compliance Manager is responsible for monitoring. The PAQ indicated that the facility monitors for retaliation and that it does so for at least 90 days. The PAQ indicated that there had been no instances of retaliation in the previous twelve months. Interviews with the Warden and staff responsible for monitoring retaliation all indicated that the inmate would be safeguarded and an investigation would be initiated. Monitoring staff indicated that he would monitor the inmate for at least 90 days and would spot check every few weeks. He indicated that housing changes, job changes, progress reviews in segregated housing, disciplinary reports and unreasonable incident reports would be reviewed for retaliation.

115.67 (d): P5324.12, page 43, states that the facility will monitor the inmate and such monitoring includes periodic status checks. In instances where monitoring was required, staff stated that they would monitor an inmate who alleged sexual harassment for 90 days. This monitoring would include status checks. The interview with staff responsible for monitoring indicated that he would monitor the inmate for at least 90 days and this would include status checks. This facility had five allegations of sexual abuse. Of these, only one inmate was at the facility and would require retaliation monitoring, however, this investigation is still ongoing.

115.67 (e): P5324.12, page 43, states if any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses fear of retaliation, the agency shall take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation. Interviews with the Director of the BOP and Warden indicated that they would employ the same protective measures as stated previously related to staff and inmates to include, removal of inmates or staff, protective protocols, counseling and an investigation.

115.67 (f): Auditor not required to audit this provision.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, investigative reports, sample monitoring documents and interviews with the Director of the BOP, Warden, staff charged with monitoring for retaliation, and an inmate who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.68 (a)

Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? ⋈ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. BP-A1002: Safeguarding of Inmates Alleging Sexual Abuse/Assault Allegation Form
- 4. Memorandum from PCM regarding Post-Allegation protective custody

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Warden
- 2. Interview with Random Staff
- 3. Interview with Random Inmates

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Special Housing Unit

Findings (By Provision):

115.68 (a): P5324.12, page 43, indicates any use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse will be subject to the requirements of 115.43. Pages 33-34 of P5324.12 reference the requirements under 115.43 in policy. The PAQ indicated that there were no inmates who alleged sexual abuse and, therefore, there were no inmates involuntarily segregated for zero to 24 hours or longer than 30 days. During the tour, it was observed that the segregated housing unit at this facility did not currently house any inmates who were alleged to have suffered sexual abuse. The BP-A1002 (sample) for inmates indicates the statement for the basis of the facility's concern for the inmate safety as well as the reason why there were no alternative housing. The interview with the Warden indicated that inmates who alleged sexual abuse would only be placed in involuntary segregated housing until an assessment of all available alternatives had been made and a determination was made that no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers existed. The Warden indicated this would typically not exceed 30 days and the status of the inmate would be reviewed at least every 30 days by staff. Interviews with inmates and staff

also indicated that inmates are not housed in segregated housing unless there is no other alternative and then it is a very short period of time.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12 and interviews with the Warden, random staff and inmates, this standard appears to be compliant.

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5.	.71	(a	ı)
----	----	-----	----	----

•	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not
	responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.
	See 115.21(a).] ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

■ Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).]

☑ Yes □ No □ NA

115.71 (b)

Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?

☑ Yes □ No

115.71 (c)

- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?
 ☑ Yes □ No
- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.71 (d)

When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.71 (e)

•	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(f)
•	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(g)
•	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(h)
•	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.71	(i)
•	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(j)
•	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(k)
•	Auditor is not required to audit this provision.
115.71	(1)
•	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Prison Rape Elimination Act Investigation Policy Memorandum
- 4. Memorandum of Understanding with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)
- 5. Letter from FBI on PREA Compliance
- 6. Web link for the FBI's Domestic Investigations and Operations Guide (DIOG)
- 7. DOJ / OIG PREA Training Curriculum

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Investigative Staff
- 2. Interview with the Warden
- 3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
- 4. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
- 5. Interview with Inmate Who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.71 (a): P5324.12, page 43, states when an agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, it shall do so promptly, thoroughly and objectively for all allegations. The policy indicated that when it is an inmate-on-inmate allegation that the Special Investigative Services (SIS) is contacted and for an allegation that is staff-on-inmate the OIA and OIG are contacted. There were five allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment reported at the facility for the previous twelve months. The interview with the investigators confirmed that in these and any allegations of sexual abuse, an investigation would be initiated immediately and promptly. The investigators indicated that all investigations (administrative and criminal) are completed promptly, thoroughly and objectively.

115.71 (b): P5324.12, page 44, indicated that when sexual abuse is alleged, the agency shall use investigators who have received specialized training pursuant to 115.34. A review of an email from the Administrator of the External Auditing Branch indicated that OIG field agents have completed the DOJ/OIG PREA training. Additionally, a review of training records revealed that facility staff have completed the NIC training. The MOU and letter from the FBI indicate that they are compliant with all PREA investigatory standards to include 115.34 and 115.71. The interview with the investigator indicated she received specialized training and she completes the NIC training annually.

- 115.71 (c): P5324.12, page 44, describes the criminal and administrative investigation process. Specifically, it states that investigators shall gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence including physical, DNA, electronic monitoring data and interviews. It also indicates that they will review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the alleged perpetrator. There were five allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment reported at the facility for the previous twelve months. The interview with investigative staff indicated that in these allegations and any allegations, she would ensure the victim was safeguarded and begin the investigation. This would include interviews, evidence collection, photographs, medical assessments, mental health assessments, report writing and facts and findings. The investigator indicated that the investigation from start to finish would typically take five days.
- 115.71 (d): P5324.12, page 44, describes the criminal and administrative investigation process. Specifically, it states that when evidence appears to support criminal prosecution that the agency will conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors. The interview with the investigator confirmed that she would only conduct compelled interviews after consulting with the FBI or prosecutors.
- 115.71 (e): P5324.12, page 44, describes the criminal and administrative investigation process. Specifically, it states that the credibility of the alleged victim, perpetrator and/or witness will be assessed on an individual basis and will not be determined based on the individual's status as an inmate or staff member. Additionally, it indicates that inmates would not be required to submit to a polygraph examination or any other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation. The interview with the investigator confirmed that the agency does not utilize polygraph tests or any other truth-telling devices on inmates who allege sexual abuse. An interview with an inmate who alleged sexual abuse indicated that this inmate was not subjected to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device.
- 115.71 (f): P5324.12, pages 44-45, describes the criminal and administrative investigation process. Specifically, it states that all administrative investigations will include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failure to act contributed to the abuse and shall be documented in a written report that includes a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments and investigative facts and findings. Additionally, the policy indicates that the investigation should also include information as to whether other factors such as physical layout, staffing patterns and institutional operations contributed to the abuse. There were five administrative investigations regarding allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment completed in the previous twelve months at this facility. The interview with investigative staff confirmed that administrative investigations are documented in written reports and include all facts and findings. The reports contain a memorandum, photos (if any), interviews, summary, initial allegation and a conclusion. The investigator indicated that she reviews any evidence, TRUSCOPE logs and rosters to determine if staff actions or failure to act contributed to the abuse. A review of the investigations indicates that all of this information was included.
- 115.71 (g): P5324.12, page 45, indicates that criminal investigations shall be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible. There were no criminal investigations completed related to sexual abuse within the previous twelve months. The interview with investigative staff confirmed that criminal investigations would be documented in written reports and include all factual findings as well as the conclusion of the findings. Staff indicated they would have all the same components as an administrative investigation except there may be additional information as it relates to staff to include phone calls and emails.
- 115.71 (h): P5324.12, page 45 and the PAQ indicated that substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal will be referred for prosecution. The PAQ indicated that there have not been any allegations referred for prosecution since the last PREA audit. The interview with the investigator confirmed that if fact finding led to a belief the allegation occurred, it would be referred by the FBI or OIG for prosecution.

- 115.71 (i): P5324.12, page 45 and the PAQ describes that all written reports referenced in (f) and (g) will be retained for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years. A review of a sample of historic investigations confirmed retention is being met.
- 115.71 (j): P5324.12, page 45, indicates that the departure of the alleged victim or alleged abuser from employment or custody of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation. Five administrative investigations were completed within the previous twelve months. The interview with the investigator confirmed that all investigations are completed no matter if staff leave/resign or if inmates depart the facility or agency's custody.
- 115.71 (k): The Office of the Inspector General and the Federal Bureau of Investigation are responsible for conducting criminal sexual abuse investigations at all BOP facilities. The MOU as well as the letter from the FBI indicate that they are compliant with all PREA investigatory standards.
- 115.71 (I): The Office of the Inspector General and the Federal Bureau of Investigation are responsible for conducting criminal sexual abuse investigations at all BOP facilities. The MOU as well as the letter from the FBI indicate that they are compliant with all PREA investigatory standards.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, the MOU with the FBI, the letter from the FBI, training records and information from interviews with the Director of the BOP, Warden, PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, investigative staff and an inmate who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.72 (a)

•	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program

Interviews:

1. Interview with Investigative Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.72 (a): P5324.12, page 45, indicates that the agency does not impose no standard higher than a preponderance of evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. A review of the records indicated that three sexual abuse administrative investigations and three sexual harassment administrative investigations were completed within the previous twelve months. The interview with the investigator indicated that preponderance of evidence is the threshold to substantiate an allegation.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, and information from the interviews with investigative staff it is determined that this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.73 (a)

Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.73 (b)

If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.73 (c)

- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? ⋈ Yes □ No
- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate

	has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inhas been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate wheneve The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual about in the facility? ⋈ Yes □ No			
•	inmate has be The ag	ing an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the , unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate en released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: lency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual within the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.73	(d)			
•	does that	ing an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, ne agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the dabuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \Box No		
•	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☑ Yes □ No			
115.73	(e)			
•	Does to	he agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? $oxtimes$ Yes \odots No		
115.73	(f)			
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's

conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program An Overview for Offenders
- 4. Notification Memos

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Warden
- 2. Interview with Investigative Staff
- 3. Interview with Inmates Who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.73 (a): P5324.12, page 45 and the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, describes the process for reporting investigative information to inmates. Specifically, it states that following an investigation into an inmate's sexual abuse allegation, the facility will inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. The policy indicates that the Special Investigative Services Lieutenant is responsible for all notification under this standard. The PAQ indicated that there were five investigations completed within the previous twelve months. The interviews with the Warden, investigative staff and an inmate who reported sexual abuse, confirmed that inmates are informed of the outcome of the investigation into their allegation.

115.73 (b): P5324.12, page 46 and the PAQ indicate that if the agency does not conduct the investigation, that it shall request the relevant information from the investigating agency in order to inform the inmate. The OIG and FBI are responsible for criminal sexual abuse investigations. These agencies provide relevant information to the facility in order to inform inmates about the outcome of their investigations. The PAQ indicated that there were no investigations completed within the previous twelve months by an outside agency.

115.73 (c): P5324.12, page 46 and the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, describes the process for reporting investigative information to inmates. Specifically, it states that following an investigation into an inmate's sexual abuse allegation against a staff member, the agency will inform the inmate as to whether the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit, the staff member is no longer employed at the facility, if the agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The policy further indicates that these notifications may not be appropriate in all cases and that all notifications are made in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act. The PAQ indicated that there have been zero notifications to inmates that were provided pursuant to this standard in the previous twelve months. An interview with an inmate who reported sexual abuse indicated that he was given the appropriate notification in this case.

115.73 (d): P5324.12, page 45 and the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, describes the process for reporting investigative information to inmates. Specifically, it states that following an investigation into an inmate's sexual abuse allegation by another inmate, the agency will inform the inmate as to whether the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or if the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The PAQ indicated that there have been no substantiated allegations of sexual abuse committed by an inmate against another inmate in the previous twelve months.

115.73 (e): P5324.12, page 46, indicates that all notifications or attempted notification would be documented and maintained in the investigative file. The PAQ indicated that there was one notification made during the audit period which was documented. 115.73 (f): This provision is not required to be audited. Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, the Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, information from interviews with the Warden, investigative staff, and an inmate who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant. **DISCIPLINE** Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.76 (a) Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? ⊠ Yes □ No 115.76 (b) Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No 115.76 (c) Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? ⊠ Yes □ No 115.76 (d) Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? ⊠ Yes □ No Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P3420.11, Program Statement, Standards of Employee Conduct
- 3. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 4. Memorandum from Warden regarding Disciplinary Sanctions for Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.76 (a): P3420.11, pages 6-7 and P5324.12, describes the process for disciplinary sanctions against staff. Specifically, they indicate that staff are subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.

115.76 (b): P3420.11, pages 6-7 and P5324.12, indicate that termination will be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who engage in the sexual abuse. The policy states that engaging in this activity is a violation of Title 18, US Code Chapter 109A and that it may result in up to life in prison. The PAQ as well as the memo indicated that there were no staff who violated the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. Additionally, there have been no staff who were terminated or resigned prior to termination for violating the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies within the previous twelve months.

115.76 (c): P5324.12 describes the process for disciplinary sanctions against staff. Specifically, it illustrates those disciplinary sanctions for violations of the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the act, the staff member's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offense by other staff members with similar histories. The PAQ as well as the memo indicated that there had been no staff that were disciplined, short of termination, for violating the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies within the previous twelve months.

115.76 (d): P5324.12 indicates that staff who are terminated for violating the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or staff who resign prior to being terminated, will be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. The PAQ indicated that there had been no staff that were disciplined for violating the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies within the previous twelve months. The PAQ indicated that there have not been any staff members reported to law enforcement or relevant licensing bodies.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P3420.11, P5324.12 and the memo related to staff discipline, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.77 (a) Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates? ⊠ Yes □ No Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? \boxtimes Yes \square No Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ⊠ Yes □ No 115.77 (b) In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? ⊠ Yes □ No **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) \boxtimes Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) **Does Not Meet Standard** (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P3420.11, Program Statement, Standards of Employee Conduct
- 3. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 4. Memorandum from PCM regarding Corrective Action for Contractors and Volunteers

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Warden

Findings (By Provision):

115.77 (a): P3420.11, pages 6-7 and P5324.12, describe the process for corrective action for volunteers and contractors. Volunteers and contractors fall under the same category of staff and as such, any violation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies may result in up to termination from the facility. The policy states that engaging in this activity is a violation of Title 18, US Code Chapter 109A and that it may result in up to life in prison. Additionally, P5324.12 states that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse is prohibited from contact with inmates and will be reported to law enforcement, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. The PAQ and the memo indicated that there have been no contractors or volunteers who have been reported to law enforcement or relevant licensing bodies within the previous twelve months and that, in fact, there have been no contractors or volunteers as subjects of investigations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment of inmates.

115.77 (b): P5324.12 and the PAQ indicated that the agency takes remedial measures and considers whether to prohibit further contact with inmates in the case of any other violation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. The interview with the Warden indicated that any violation of the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies would result in the volunteer or contractor no longer being allowed in the facility. He also indicated that they may be referred to the FBI for prosecution.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P3420.11, P5324.12, the memo from the PCM and information from the interview with the Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5.	.78	(a)
----	----	-----	-----

■ Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.78 (b)

■ Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?

✓ Yes

✓ No

115.78 (c)

When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.78 (d)

• If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.78 (e)					
The state of the s	■ Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? ✓ Yes ✓ No				
115.78 (f)					
For the purpose of disciplinary action does a reportupon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct incident or lying, even if an investigation does not the allegation? ⊠ Yes □ No	occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an				
115.78 (g)					
■ If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA					
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination					
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds	requirement of standards)				
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; standard for the relevant review period)	complies in all material ways with the				
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corre	ctive Action)				
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Na	rrative				
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.					

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Memorandum from Warden regarding Disciplinary Sanctions for Inmates

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Warden
- 2. Interview with Medical and Mental Health Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.78 (a): P5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it states that inmates will be subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an

administrative finding that the inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse or following a finding of guilt from a criminal investigation. The PAQ indicated there have been no administrative findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse nor have there been any criminal findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate abuse within the previous twelve months.

115.78 (b): P5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that the sanctions will commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmates' disciplinary history and sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by inmates with similar histories. The PAQ indicated there have been no administrative findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse nor have there been any criminal findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate abuse within the previous twelve months, therefore there has not been any discipline. The interview with the Warden indicated that if the allegation was not criminal that a disciplinary hearing would take place and sanctions could include loss of good conduct, disciplinary segregation, transfer to another facility or transfer to a higher level of security. If it was criminal, it could be referred for prosecution.

115.78 (c): P5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that the disciplinary process will consider whether the inmate's mental illness or mental disability contributed to the behavior when determining what sanctions, if any, should be imposed. The PAQ indicated there have been no administrative findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse nor have there been any criminal findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate abuse within the previous twelve months, therefore there has not been any discipline. The interview with the Warden indicated that the inmate abuser's mental health would be considered in the disciplinary sanctions hearing.

115.78 (d): P5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that the agency will offer therapy, counseling and other interventions to correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse and will consider whether to require the abuser to participate in these interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits. The PAQ indicated there have been no administrative findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse nor have there been any criminal findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate abuse within the previous twelve months, therefore there has not been any discipline. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated that they do offer therapy, counseling and other services designed to address and correct underlying issues and that it is voluntary. They indicated that they do not require the inmate to participate as a condition of access to programming and other benefits.

115.78 (e): P5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that the agency may discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent. The memo indicated there have been no instances where inmates have been disciplined for sexual contact with staff.

115.78 (f): P5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that inmates will not be disciplined for reporting sexual abuse in good faith based upon reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if an investigation does not establish sufficient evidence to substantiate the allegation. The policy further states that the maintenance of an effective sexual abuse prevention policy requires inmates to be held responsible for manipulative behavior and making false allegations. There have been no instances where inmates have been disciplined for falsely reporting an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

115.78 (g): P5324.12, page 48, describes the disciplinary process for inmates. Specifically, it indicates that the agency may, in its discretion, prohibit all sexual activity between inmates and may discipline inmates for such activity. An agency may not, however, deem such activity to constitute sexual abuse if it is determined the activity is not coerced.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, the memo, and information from interviews with the Warden and medical and mental health care staff, this standard appears to be compliant.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual

abuse All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.81 (a) If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA 115.81 (b) If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA 115.81 (c) If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? \boxtimes Yes \square No 115.81 (d) Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.81 (e)

Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Memorandums from Warden regarding Medical and Mental Health Screenings / History of Sexual Abuse
- 4. Memorandums from PCM regarding Access to Emergency Medical and Mental Health Services
- 5. Medical/Mental Health Documents
- 6. Memorandum from Chief of Psychology regarding Inmates at Risk of Sexual Victimization and Inmates at Risk of Sexual Abusiveness
- 7. Memorandum from Clinical Director regarding reporting prior victimization

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
- 2. Interview with Medical and Mental Health Staff
- 3. Interview with Inmates who Disclose Sexual Victimization at Risk Screening

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Risk Screening Area

Findings (By Provision):

115.81 (a): P5324.12, page 49, describes medical and mental health screenings related to sexual abuse. Specifically, it states that inmates who indicate during the risk screening that they have experienced prior sexual victimization will be offered a follow-up with medical or mental health practitioners within fourteen days of the screening. P5324.12, pages 29, 30 and 32 indicate the requirements for the risk screening. All inmates who are identified during the risk screening to have experienced prior sexual victimization are referred to Psychology Services. The PAQ indicates that 100% of inmates who reported prior victimization within the previous 12 months were offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner. The PAQ also indicated that medical and mental health practitioners maintain documents related to compliance with these services. Interviews with inmates who disclosed sexual victimization at risk screening indicated that they were offered follow-up service with medical and mental health staff.

115.81 (b): P5324.12, page 49, describes medical and mental health screenings related to sexual abuse. Specifically, it states that inmates who indicate during the risk screening that they have previously perpetrated sexual abuse will be offered a follow-up with medical or mental health staff within fourteen days

of the screening. P5324.12, pages 29, 30 and 32 indicate the requirements for the risk screening. All inmates identified during the risk screening to have previously perpetrated sexual abuse are referred to Psychology Services. The PAQ indicated that medical and mental health staff maintain documents related to compliance with these services in these instances. No inmates were identified who reported to have previously perpetrated sexual abuse during the risk screening.

115.81 (c): This provision does not apply as the facility is not a jail but rather a federal prison.

115.81 (d): P5324.12, page 49, states that information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting shall be strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff, as necessary, to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education and program assignments. During the tour it was noted by the auditor that inmate medical files are maintained electronically and inmate classification files are kept behind locked doors with limited access by staff.

115.81 (e): P5324.12, page 50, states that medical and mental health staff are required to obtain informed consent from inmates prior to reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur within an institutional setting, unless the inmate was under eighteen. The memo indicated that there have not been any instances where medical and mental health staff reported prior sexual victimization and that they would obtain informed consent prior to reporting. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicate that they obtain informed consent prior to reporting any sexual abuse that did not occur in an institutional setting, that they disclose their duty to report and that they have not had any instances of this in the previous twelve months. Additionally, they indicated that victims under eighteen and vulnerable adults fall under mandatory reporting laws and they would be required to report any allegations from these individuals.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, the memo from the PCM, medical and mental health documents and information from interviews with staff who perform the risk screening, medical and mental health staff, and inmates who disclosed sexual victimization at risk screening, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	.82	? (a	١

	treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.82	(b)
•	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? ⊠ Yes □ No

Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health

Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical

.....

practitioners? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	emerge	nate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to ency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with sionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.82	(d)		
•	the vict	atment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether tim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? \Box No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

П

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

3. Medical and Mental Health Documents

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Medical and Mental Health Staff
- 2. Interview with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse
- 3. Interview with First Responders

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Medical and Mental Health Areas

Findings (By Provision):

115.82 (a): P5324.12, pages 50-51, describes inmate's access to emergency medical and mental health treatment. Page 50, specifically states that inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services as determined by the medical and mental health staff. The PAQ indicated that medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials documenting the timeliness of services. During the tour, the auditor noted that the medical and mental health area consisted of an emergency room, numerous exam rooms, and offices. All areas were private and consisted of solid doors that allowed for adequate confidentiality. Interviews with medical and mental health

staff confirm that inmates receive timely services, typically immediately. They also advised that services are based on their professional judgement. An inmate who had reported sexual abuse was interviewed by the auditor. The alleged behavior reported by the inmate of the perpetrator did not require emergency medical treatment or crisis intervention services.

115.82 (b): P5324.12, page 51 and the PAQ indicated that if no qualified medical or mental health practitioners were on duty at the time of a report of recent abuse, that the Operations Lieutenant would take preliminary steps to protect the victim and notify the appropriate medical and mental health services. Procedure confirms that inmate victims of sexual abuse would be transported to a local hospital, St. Mary's Hospital, for a forensic medical examination. The interviews with first responders indicated the inmates would be immediately separated, that evidence on the inmates would be preserved, the crime scene would be secured and the Operations Lieutenant would be contacted.

115.82 (c): P5324.12, pages 50-51, describes inmate's access to emergency medical and mental health treatment. Page 51 specifically indicates that inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. When the inmate is transferred to the local hospital, these services are typically rendered at the time and the facility would continue any follow-up medication, education or services. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirm that inmates receive timely information and access to emergency contraception and sexual transmitted infection prophylaxis, when applicable.

115.82 (d): P5324.12, pages 50-51, describes inmate's access to emergency medical and mental health treatment. Page 51 specifically states that inmate victims of sexual abuse will receive treatment services without financial cost and regardless whether the victim names the alleged abuser or cooperates with any investigation. The policy indicates that Bureau policies related to co-pays do not apply to sexual abuse victims.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, a review of medical and mental health documents and information from interviews with medical and mental health care staff, and an inmate who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.83	s (a)
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile
	ililiates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any phson, jali, lockup, or juvenile

115.83 (b)

facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No

■ Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.83 (c)

•		he facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with mmunity level of care? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.83	(d)	
•	tests? as tran such ir	nate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be inmates who identify asgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether adividuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific stances.) Yes No NA
115.83	(e)	
•	receive related inmate sure to	nancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims a timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-medical services? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be a who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be a know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may an specific circumstances.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.83	(f)	
•		nate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted ons as medically appropriate? $oxinesize$ Yes \oxinesize No
115.83	(g)	
•	the vict	atment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether tim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?
115.83	(h)	
•	inmate when c	acility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) □ No □ NA
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Medical and Mental Health Documents

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with Medical and Mental Health Staff
- 2. Interview with Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Medical Treatment Areas

Findings (By Provision):

115.83 (a): P5324.12, page 52, describe ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. Specifically, it states that the agency will offer medical and mental health evaluations and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup or juvenile facility. The medical and mental health areas consisted of exam rooms and office spaces. All areas were private and consisted of solid doors that allowed for adequate confidentiality.

115.83 (b): P5324.12, page 52, describe ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. Specifically, it states that evaluations and treatments of such victims will include follow-up services, treatment plans, and when necessary, referrals for continued care following transfer or release from custody. An interview with an inmate who reported sexual abuse indicated that they had received services from mental health staff. The inmate was not sure about any continued care that would be offered upon his release from custody.

115.83 (c): P5324.12, page 52, describe ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. Specifically, it states that the facility shall provide victims medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care. All medical and mental health staff are required to have the appropriate credentials and licensures. The facility utilizes the local hospitals for forensic medical examinations. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated that inmates have immediate access to medical and mental health care when needed. Interviews also confirm that the services they provide are consistent with the community level of care.

115.83 (d): P5324.12, page 52, describe ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. Specifically, it indicates that female offenders who have been sexually victimized while incarcerated shall be offered pregnancy tests. There are no females housed at FMC Rochester.

115.83 (e): P5324.12, page 52, indicates that if pregnancy results from the conduct of section (d), such victims shall receive timely and comprehensive information and access to all lawful pregnancy related medical services. There are no females housed at FMC Rochester.

115.83 (f): P5324.12, page 52, describes ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. Specifically, it states that victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated will be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. Inmate who reported sexual abuse were interviewed by the auditor. The allegations by the inmate did not require treatment for sexually transmitted infections. Several inmates stated that, if necessary, they believed this service would be offered by medical staff.

115.83 (g): P5324.12, page 52, describe ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. Specifically, it states that inmate victims of sexual abuse will receive treatment services without financial cost and regardless whether the victim names the alleged abuser or cooperates with any investigation. The policy indicates that Bureau policies related to co-pays do not apply to sexual abuse victims. An interview was conducted with an inmate who reported sexual abuse. The inmate stated that he was not charged a fee for any services.

115.83 (h): P5324.12, page 52, indicates that the facility attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirm that inmate-on-inmate abusers would be offered mental health services. A review of documentation of inmates with an identified abuse history during risk screening indicated that each one had received services from mental health within 60 days of learning of the abuse history.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, a review of medical and mental health documents and information from interviews with medical and mental health care staff, as well as information from interviews with an inmate who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.86 (a	1	1	5		8	6	(a	١
-----------	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---

■ Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?

✓ Yes

✓ No

115.86 (b)

■ Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?
 ☑ Yes □ No

115.86 (c)

■ Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?

✓ Yes

✓ No

115.86 (d)

•		e review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	ethnicity	e review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; r; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or ed status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•		e review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•		e review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different $oximes$ Yes \oximes No		
•	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.86	(e)			
•	■ Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? ⊠ Yes □ No			
Audito	or Overal	I Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Instru	ctions fo	r Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		
complia conclus not me	ance or no sions. Thi et the sta	elow must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the con-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's is discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does ndard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by pecific corrective actions taken by the facility.		
 P532 Mem 	Audit Que 24.12, Pro norandum	estionnaire ogram Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program from the Warden regarding Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews Incident Reviews Memorandum		

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Warden
- 2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
- 3. Interview with Incident Review Team

Findings (By Provision):

115.86 (a): P5324.12, pages 52-53, outlines information related to sexual abuse incident reviews. Specifically, page 53 states that the facility will conduct sexual abuse incident reviews at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. Policy indicates that Executive Staff review the incident and that the PCM documents the recommendation and forwards them to the Warden for implementation. If the allegation is substantiated, a copy of the review is forwarded to the Regional PC. The PAQ indicated that the incidents of reported sexual abuse were followed up with a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of the investigation.

115.86 (b): P5324.12, pages 52-53, outlines information related to sexual abuse incident reviews. Specifically, page 53 states that the review shall ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. The PAQ indicated that the reviews were conducted within 30 days of the completion of the investigations for the reported allegations from the previous twelve months. A review of the documentation of these reviews indicated that all were completed within the 30-day timeframe.

115.86 (c): P5324.12, pages 52-53, outlines information related to sexual abuse incident reviews. Specifically, page 53 states that the review team will consists of upper management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators and medical and mental health staff. Additionally, policy requires that the Union President or designee be allowed input and the local union representative be authorized to review the recommendations prior to implementation. The interview with the Warden confirmed that these reviews would be completed and they include upper management officials, mental health and medical staff and the investigator, in the event of a reported incident. A review of the documentation confirmed that the incident review team included line supervisors, investigators and medical and mental health practitioners.

115.86 (d): P5324.12, page 53, illustrates that the review team shall: consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice; consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity or sexual preference (identified or perceived), gang affiliation, or if it was motivated by other group dynamics; examine the area where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether there were any physical barriers; assess the staffing levels; assess video monitoring technology and prepare a report of its findings to include any recommendations for improvement. Policy indicates that Executive Staff review the incident and that the PCM documents the recommendation and forwards them to the Warden for implementation. If the allegation is substantiated a copy of the review is forwarded to the Regional PC. Interviews with the Warden, PCM and incident review team member confirmed that these reviews are completed and include all the required elements in the event of a reported incident. Interviews indicated that the reviews would assist with managing incidents. The staff will determine if anyone needed to be moved, if there are any flaws in security practices, any issues with the physical plan and if there are any recommendations or needed corrective action. A review of the incident review team documentation indicates that all of the required elements under this standard are completed.

115.86 (e): P5324.12, page 53, outlines information related to sexual abuse incident reviews. Specifically, it states that the facility will implement the recommendations for improvement or document the reasons for not doing so. Policy also states that all recommendation must comply with current collective bargaining agreements. During one Sexual Incident Review, one case was discussed where the alleged incident

occurred within a cell where camera visibility was limited. The recommendation to add a camera to the area was made and the camera was installed, operable and capable of recording.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, and information from interviews with the Warden, the PCM and a member of the sexual abuse incident review team, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.87: Data collection

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.87 (a)
■ Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.87 (b)
 Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? ☑ Yes □ No
115.87 (c)
■ Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? Yes □ No
115.87 (d)
 ■ Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? ☑ Yes □ No
115.87 (e)
■ Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) ✓ Yes ✓ NO ✓ NA
115.87 (f)
 Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12. Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Bureau of Prisons Annual Reports (2013 2019)

Findings (By Provision):

115.87 (a): P5324.12, page 54, outlines how PREA data is collected. Specifically, it states that the agency will collect accurate uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. It also indicates that the data will include at minimum, data to answer questions on the Survey of Sexual Victimization (SSV). A review of collected data confirmed that the agency utilizes the definitions set forth in the PREA standards. Data is collected from numerous sources to include SIS, OIA, SENTRY and the Information, Policy and Public Affairs Division (IPPA). The OIA reports the data to the Director of the BOP and the IPPA reports the data for the SSV.

115.87 (b): P5324.12, page 55 and the PAQ indicates that the agency aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. Policy states that the PREA Coordinator and Regional PREA Coordinators are responsible for the annual aggregation.

115.87 (c): P5324.12, page 54, outlines how PREA data is collected. Specifically, it states that the agency will collect accurate uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. It also indicates that the data will include at minimum, data to answer questions on the Survey of Sexual Victimization (SSV). A review of collected data confirmed that the agency utilizes the definitions set forth in the PREA standards. Data is collected from numerous sources to include SIS, OIA, SENTRY and the Information, Policy and Public Affairs Division (IPPA). The OIA reports the data to the Director of the BOP and the IPPA reports the data for the SSV.

115.87 (d): P5324.12, page 55 and the PAQ indicate that the agency maintains, reviews and collects data as needed from available incident-based documents, including reports, investigative files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. Data is collected from numerous sources to include SIS, OIA, SENTRY and the Information, Policy and Public Affairs Division (IPPA). The OIA reports the data to the Director of the BOP and the IPPA reports the data for the SSV.

115.87 (e): P5324.12, page 55 and the PAQ indicated that the agency obtains incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates. A review of the annual report, which includes the aggregated data, indicated that data was reported for all eight privately operated low security facilities.

115.87 (f): P5324.12, page 55 and the PAQ indicated that the agency provides the Department of Justice with data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30th.

Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12 and a review of the Annual Reports, this standard appears to be compliant.

Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.88 (a)	
an	bes the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, actices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? \boxtimes Yes \square No
an pra	bes the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, actices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? Yes \square No
an pra	bes the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, actices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective stions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.88 (b)
ac	bes the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective stions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in ddressing sexual abuse $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No
115.88 (c)	
	the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the ablic through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.88 (d	
fro	bes the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material om the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and ecurity of a facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Auditor C	Overall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Bureau of Prisons Annual Reports (2013 2019)

Interviews:

- 1. Interview with the Agency Head (Director of the BOP)
- 2. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
- 3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Findings (By Provision):

115.88 (a): P5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicated that the agency reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies and training. The review includes: identifying problem areas, taking corrective action on an ongoing basis and preparing an annual report of its findings and any corrective action. Policy indicated that the National PC reviews the data completed by the Regional PREA Coordinators, IPPA and OIA and reports to the Director annually. A review of annual reports indicates that reports include aggregated data for all facilities. The data is broken down by incident type and includes investigative outcomes. The report also includes general information related to each substantiated incident. The report compares the data from the current year with the previous year. Additionally, the report includes problem areas and corrective action. The interview with the Director of the BOP indicated that if incident-based data shows patterns, then policies, procedures and training may be modified. The PCM indicated that the facility provides data monthly that is utilized to compile an annual report. The annual report is utilized at the facility to assess practices. Additionally, the PC confirmed that the data is reviewed and compiled into a report and issued to the Director annually.

115.88 (b): P5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicated that the agency's annual report includes a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provides an assessment of the progress in addressing sexual abuse. A review of annual reports indicates that reports include aggregation data for all facilities. The data is broken down by incident type and includes investigative outcomes. The report also includes general information related to each substantiated incident. The report compares the data from the current year with the previous year. Additionally, the report includes problem areas and corrective action.

115.88 (c): P5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicated that the agency's annual report is approved by the Director of the BOP and made available to the public through its website. The interview with the Director of the BOP confirmed that the report is done annually and that it is reviewed prior to being placed on the public

website. A review of the website: https://www.bop.gov/inmates/custody and care/sexual abuse prevention.isp confirmed that the 2019 annual report is available to the public online. 115.88 (d): P5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicated that the agency may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility, but must indicate the nature of the material redacted. Policy states that the agency complies with the Federal Privacy Act and the Freedom of Information Act. A review of the annual report confirmed that no personal identifying information was included in the report nor any security related information. The report did not contain any redacted information. Based on a review of the PAQ, the annual reports, the website and information obtained from interviews with the Director of the BOP, PC and PCM, this standard appears to be compliant. Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.89 (a) Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained? ⊠ Yes □ No 115.89 (b) Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ⊠ Yes □ No 115.89 (c) Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? ⊠ Yes □ No 115.89 (d) Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10

■ Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the
	standard for the relevant review period)

□ Does Not Meet Standard	(Requires Corrective Action)
--------------------------	------------------------------

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

- 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- 2. P5324.12, Program Statement, Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
- 3. Bureau of Prisons Annual Reports (2013 2019)

Interviews:

1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.89 (a): P5324.12, page 56, describes the data storage, publication and destruction of information related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. Specifically, it states that the agency shall ensure all data is securely retained. The PAQ as well as the interview with the PREA Coordinator confirmed that the agency complies with the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and other applicable laws, rules and regulations to ensure all investigative, psychological and medical data is securely maintained.

115.89 (b): P5324.12, page 56, describes the data storage, publication and destruction of information related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. Specifically, it states that the agency will make all aggregated sexual abuse data readily available to the public, at least annually, through its website or through other means. A review of the website:

https://www.bop.gov/inmates/custody_and_care/sexual_abuse_prevention.jsp confirmed that the current annual report, which includes aggregated data, is available to the public online.

115.89 (c): P5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicated that before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the agency shall remove all personal identifiers. A review of the annual report, which contains the aggregated data, confirmed that no personal identifiers were publicly available.

115.89 (d): P5324.12, page 56 and the PAQ indicates that the agency maintains sexual abuse data that is collected for at least ten years after the date of initial collection. A review of historical annual reports indicated that aggregated data is available from 2013 to present. Based on a review of the PAQ, P5324.12, annual reports, the website and information obtained from the interview with the PREA Coordinator, this standard appears to be compliant.

AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

•	agency, The resp	ne prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (<i>Note:</i> conse here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.40)1 (b)	
•		e first year of the current audit cycle? (<i>Note: a "no" response does not impact overall nce with this standard.</i>) \square Yes \boxtimes No
•	of each fagency,	the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the year of the current audit cycle.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	each fac were auc	the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of illity type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, dited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the <i>third</i> year irrent audit cycle.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.40)1 (h)	
•	Did the a ⊠ Yes	auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? $\hfill\Box$ No
115.40)1 (i)	
•		auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including cally stored information)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.40)1 (m)	
•	Was the ⊠ Yes	auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees? $\hfill\square$ No
115.40)1 (n)	
•		mates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the anner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	or Overal	I Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the

115.401 (a)

	Does Not Meet St	andard (Requires Corrective	Action)
Instructions f	or Overall Compli	ance Determination Narrati	ve
compliance or conclusions. To not meet the st	non-compliance dete his discussion must a andard. These reco	ermination, the auditor's analys also include corrective action r	all the evidence relied upon in making the sis and reasoning, and the auditor's ecommendations where the facility does d in the Final Report, accompanied by
Findings (By I	Provision):		
` '	ne facility is part of the year audit cycle.	e Federal Bureau of Prisons.	All BOP facilities were audited in the
facilities to be a	audited within the thr		The BOP has a schedule for all their eing audited in each cycle. The facility is
relevant policie	s, procedure or docu	-	y; was permitted to receive and copy any uct private interviews and was able to
Standard 1	15.403: Audit	contents and findings	S
All Yes/No Qu	uestions Must Be A	Answered by the Auditor to	Complete the Report
115.403 (f)			
availab three y C.F.R. no Fina	ole, all Final Audit R ears PRECEDING § 115.405 does no al Audit Reports issi	eports. The review period is f THIS AUDIT. The pendency a excuse noncompliance with	as one, or has otherwise made publicly for prior audits completed during the past of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 this provision. (N/A if there have been or in the case of single facility agencies Yes No NA
Auditor Overa	all Compliance De	ermination	
	Exceeds Standard	d (Substantially exceeds requ	uirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
	Does Not Meet St	andard (Requires Corrective	Action)
Instructions f	or Overall Compli	ance Determination Narrati	ve
PREA Audit Report	– V6.	Page 122 of 124	Federal Medical Center Rochester

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.403 (a). The facility was previously audited June 26-28, 2018. The 2018 final audit report was available on the website for the auditor and the public to view.

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

-	
\boxtimes	The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
\boxtimes	No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and

I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

I certify that:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission. Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned. See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

Trish Bernhards	<u>June 7, 2021</u>
Auditor Signature	Date

¹ See additional instructions here: https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110.

² See *PREA Auditor Handbook*, Version 1.0, August 2017; Pages 68-69.